

25

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL : HYDERABAD BENCH

AT HYDERABAD

O.A.No.23/93

Date of Order: 8.3.1993

BETWEEN:

1. M.Savaranna

2. N.Hussain Saheb

.. Applicants

A N D

1. The Director of Maintenance,
Southern Telecom Sub-Region,
6-1-85/10, Second Floor,
Saifabad, Hyderabad - 4.

2. The General Manager (Maintenance),
Southern Telecom Region,
Infantry Road,
Bangalore.

3. The Chief General Manager (Maintenance),
Southern Telecom Region,
Madras - 1.

4. Chief General Manager,
Telecommunications, Hyderabad.

5. Director General Telecommunications,
New Delhi.

.. Respondents.

Counsel for the Applicants

.. Mr.Krishna Devan

Counsel for the Respondents

.. Mr.N.V.Ramana

CORAM:

HON'BLE SHRI T.CHANDRASEKHARA REDDY, MEMBER (JUDL.)

--- T.C.R. ---

1999-2000

4. Mr.N.V.Ramana argued that this applicants ~~had~~ approached the Tribunal without exhausting the alternative remedy and as such the O.A. is not maintainable. A copy of the representation dt. 10.12.1992 put in by the applicants herein to the competitive authorities is filed along with this O.A. From the representation dt. 10.12.92 it is quite evident both the applicants herein had represented to the competitive authorities on 6.4.1992 for fixation of pay by applying F.R.22(C) from their respective dates of promotion. So, as there was no response to the representation dt. 6.4.1992, the second representation dt. 10.12.1992 to which a reference is made had been put in by the respondents to the competitive authority for redressal of their grievance/grievances.

As final orders had not been passed by the respondents on the representation dated 6.4.1992 the applicant had approached this Tribunal within one year after the expiry of six months for the first representation dt. 6.4.1992. In view of the said position, we do not have any difficulty to come to the conclusion that the applicant is maintainable.

6 The applicant had been promoted from clerical cadre to the higher cadre of Transmission Assistant on 18.11.1985. On completion of 10 years, the applicant was promoted as SGTA on 1.4.1984. The basic pay of the applicant prior to promotion as SGTA was Rs.476/- but on promotion ~~it~~ it was fixed at Rs.500/- by applying The applicant's date of promotion had been advanced to 20.7.1983 and his pay had been fixed at Rs.464/-. It is the grievance of the applicant that his pay had not been fixed in accordance with F.R.22(C).

7. The second applicant had been promoted to the cadre of Transmission Assistant on 8.6.1974 in the pay scale of Rs.380-560. On completion of 10 years, the second applicant was promoted as SGTA on 1.4.1984 in the pay scale of Rs.425-750. The date of promotion of the applicant was advanced as 1.4.1983. The applicant's pay had also been revised w.e.f.

T. C. N.

1.4.1983 at Rs.464/-. So, as already pointed out as the representations of the applicant ^{were} ~~and~~ not met with any response, the present O.A. is filed for the relief/reliefs as already ~~from~~ indicated above.

8. As already pointed out the present O.A. and the O.A.730/92 decided by the Division Bench of this Tribunal are identical in all respects ^{and so similar directions as are given in O.A.730/92 are liable to be given to the respondents on this O.A.}

9. Even though the applicant had claimed all monetary benefits w.e.f. 20.7.1983 respectively their pay is liable to be ^{notionally} ~~refixed~~ as on 20.3.1983 and 1.4.1984 with all consequential benefits as per Provisions of F.R.22(C). In view of the provisions of Section 21 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, the applicants are entitled for actual monetary benefits only for a period of one year prior to the filing of this O.A. This O.A. had been filed on 1.1.1993 and so the applicant will be entitled to the actual monetary benefits only w.e.f. 1.1.1992 as already pointed out after the pay of the applicant is fixed notionally with all consequential benefits w.e.f. 20.7.1983 in accordance with F.R.22(C).

10. In the result we direct the respondents to fix the pay of the applicant notionally with all consequential benefits under F.R.22(C) w.e.f. 20.7.1983 in the pay scale of Rs. 455/- 750/. Further, we direct the respondents to pay the actual monetary benefits to the applicant w.e.f. 1.1.1992 which is one year prior to the filing of this O.A. O.A. is disposed of accordingly, leaving the parties to bear their own costs. This order shall be implemented within 6 months from the date of the receipt of this order. S.M.P.

(T.CHANDRASEKHARA REDDY)
Member (Judl.)

Dated: 8th March, 1993

(Dictated in Open Court)

8/3/93
Dy. Reg. Secy (Judl.)

Com 12 ... ST

3

O.A. 23/93

TYPED BY
IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
CHECKED BY
HYDERABAD BENCH
HYDERABAD

COMPARED BY

APPROVED BY

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD BENCH: AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR.

V.C.

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. R. BALASUBRAMANIAN: M(A)

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. T. CHANDRASEKHAR REDDY: M(J)

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. C. J. ROY : MEMBER (JUDL)

Dated:

8/3/1993

ORDER/JUDGMENT:

~~R.A./C.A./M.A.~~ No.

in

O.A. No.

23/93

T.A. No.

(W.P. No.)

Admitted and Interim Directions issued

Allowed

Disposed of with directions

Dismissed

Dismissed as with drawn

Dismissed for default

M.A. Ordered/Rejected

No order as to costs.

pvm.

Central Administrative Tribunal
DEPARTMENT

23 MAR 1993

HYDERABAD BENCH