

(90)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL HYDERABAD BENCH AT HYDERABAD

O.A.NO. 702/92

Date of Order: 7-2-95.

Between:

G.Sukumaran.

.. Applicant

and

1. Divisional Railway Manager,  
S.C.Railway, Vijayawada.
2. Sr.Divisional Commercial Superintendent,  
S.C.Rly, Vijayawada.
3. Manager, V.R.R. S.C.Rly,  
Bitragunta.

.. Respondents.

For the Applicant: Mr.P.Krishna Reddy, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr.N.V.Ramana, SC for Rlys.

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V.NEELADRI RAO : VICE-CHAIRMAN

THE HON'BLE MR.R.RANGARAJAN : MEMBER(ADMN)

The Tribunal made the following Order:-

It is stated for the learned counsel for the Respondents that inspite of repeated reminders, the reply statement was not sent by the Respondents.

The learned standing counsel has to write ~~in~~ a D.O. Letter to DRM of the concerned Division informing him that he has to take the responsibility if the case is decided against the Railways for want of reply statement, by marking a copy to the General Manager.

List it on 7-3-95, for reply in the meanwhile.

*Ambera*  
Deputy Registrar(J)CC

To -

1. The Divisional Railway Manager, S.C.Rly,  
Vijayawada.
2. The Sr.Divisional Commercial Superintendent,  
S.C.Rly, Vijayawada.
3. The Manager, V.R.R. S.C.Rly,  
Bitragunta.
4. One copy to Mr.P.Krishna Reddy, Advocate, CAT.Hyd.
5. One copy to Mr.N.V.Ramana, SC for Rlys. CAT.Hyd.
6. One spare copy.

pvm

*DR*  
*8/2/95*

TYPED BY

CHECKED BY

COMPARED BY

APPROVED BY

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
HYDERABAD BENCH AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. NEELADRI RAO  
VICE-CHAIRMAN

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. R. RANGARAJAN : M(A.M.N)

DATED: 7-2-1995

ORDER/JUDGEMENT:

M.A./R.A/C.A.No.

in

O.A.No.

702/92

T.A.No.

(w.p.)

Admitted and Interim directions  
issued.

list on 7/3/95

Allowed.

Disposed of with directions.

Dismissed.

Dismissed as withdrawn

Dismissed for default.

Ordered/Rejected

No order as to costs.

Central Administrative Tribunal  
DESPATCH  
8 FEB 1995  
HYDERABAD BENCH