

42

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL : HYDERABAD BENCH

AT HYDERABAD

O.A.No.384/92

Date of Order: 1.5.1992

BETWEEN:

1. S.Arul Kumar
2. K.S.Vino Babu
3. N.Jayachandra Reddy
4. A.S.Jayaraman
5. M.Vijayaraghavulu
6. P.Chandramouli

.. Applicants.

A N D

1. Union of India, rep.
by its General Manager,
South Central Railway,
Rail Nilayam,
Secunderabad.
2. Deputy Chief Mechanical
Engineer, Carriage Repair Shops,
South Central Railway,
Tirupathi, Chittoor District.
3. Work-shop Personnel Officer,
South Central Railway,
Tirupathi, Chittoor District.

.. Respondents.

Counsel for the Applicants

.. Mr.G.Ramachandra Rao

Counsel for the Respondents

.. Mr.N.V.Ramana S. for Resp.

CORAM:

HON'BLE SHRI T.CHANDRASEKHARA REDDY, MEMBER (JUDL.)

(Order of the Single Member Bench delivered by

Hon'ble Shri T.Chandrasekhara Reddy, Member (Judl.)).

5/9

.. 2 ..

This is an application filed under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act to direct the respondents to review all the promotions made to Skilled Grade-III Train Lighting Wing of the Carriage Repair Shop from 15.12.1987 in accordance with the Rules and re-adjust the quotas with a consequential directions.

2. The applicants have put in representations to the respondents on 20.7.1991 and on 3.10.1991 with regard to the grievance/grievances. But the ~~said~~ representations seem~~d~~ to be undecided. So in view of this position we are of the opinion that the interests of the Justice would be better met if this OA is disposed of at the admission stage by giving appropriate directions.

3. Hence we direct the respondents to pass final orders on the representations of the applicants dated 20.7.91 and 3.10.91 within 3 months from the date of the receipt of this order. If the applicant~~s~~ continue~~s~~ to be aggrieved by the final orders passed by the respondents, the applicant~~s~~ would be at liberty to approach this Tribunal afresh in accordance with law.

4. After hearing both sides as an interim measure we direct the respondents to permit the applicants to appear for the trade test as and when it is conducted provided the applicants satisfy eligibility all the conditions that are required for appearing for the said test.

5. With the said directions and interim order, this OA is disposed of at the admission stage itself.

T. C. a

..3

6. In view of the orders passed in the OA MA.499/92 filed on behalf of the applicants for permission to file a single OA becomes unnecessary and MA.499/92 is dismissed as unnecessary.

T - Chandrasekhara Reddy
(T. CHANDRASEKHARA REDDY)
Member (Judl.)

Dated: 1st May, 1992

(Dictated in the Open Court)

4
Dy-Registrar(J)
11592

To

1. The General Manager, Union of India,
S.C.Railway, Railnilayam, Secunderabad.
2. The Deputy Chief Mechanical Engineer,
Carriage Repair Shop, S.C.Rly,
Tirupathi, Chittoor Dist.
3. The Work-shop Personnel Officer, S.C.Rly,
Tirupathi Chittoor Dist.
4. One copy to Mr.G.Ramachandra Rao, Advocate, CAT.Hyd.
5. One copy to Mr.N.V.Ramana, SC for Rlys. CAT.Hyd.
6. One spare copy.

pvm

3
TYPED BY

COMPARE BY

CHECKED BY

APPROVED BY

THE HON'BLE MR.

V.C.

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. R. BALASUBRAMANIAN: M(A)

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. T. CHANDRASEKHAR REDDY:
 MEMBER (JUDL)

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. C. J. ROY : MEMBER (JUDL)

Dated: 1-5-1992. ✓

~~ORDER~~ / JUDGMENT

R.A./C.A./M.A.No.

in

O.A.No.

384/92

T.A.No.

(W.P.No.)

Admitted and interim directions
issued

Disposed of with directions ✓

Dismissed

Dismissed as withdrawn

Dismissed for Default. 88

M.A. Ordered/Rejected.

No order as to costs.

Central Administrative Tribunal
DESPATCH

HYDERABAD BENCH

pvm.

31/11/92
1/5/92