

25

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL : HYDERABAD BENCH

AT HYDERABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 330/92

DATE OF ORDER: 24.4.1992

BETWEEN:

M.Osmanali

.. Applicant.

A N D

The Post Master General,
A.P. Northern Region,
Hyderabad.

The Superintendent of
post offices,
Karimnagar Division,
Karimnagar.

.. Respondents.

Counsel for the Applicant

.. Mr. S.Ramakrishna Rao

Counsel for the Respondents

.. Mr. V.Rajeswara Rao for
Mr. N.V.Ramana, Addl.CGSC

CORAM

HON'BLE SHRI T.CHANDRASEKHARA REDDY, MEMBER (JUD L.)

(Order of the Single Member Bench delivered by

Hon'ble Shri T.Chandrasekhara Reddy, Member (Judl.)).

T - C. N. f

To

1. The Post Master General, A.P. Northern Region, Hyderabad.
2. The Superintendent of Post Offices, Karimnagar Division, Karimnagar.
3. One copy to Mr. S. Ramakrishna Rao, Advocate. CAT. Hyd.
4. One copy to Mr. N. V. Ramana, Addl. CGSC. CAT. Hyd. Bench.
5. One spare copy.

pvm,

This is an application filed under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act to direct the respondent to consider the applicant for promotion of Second Time Bound Promotion to the Cadre of HSG-II from the date his juniors were promoted with all the consequential benefits and pass such other and further orders as may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

2. Heard Mr S. P. Maheshwari on a written application of the applicant. The applicant is working as LSG/PRI(P) at Jagtial H.O., Karimnagar District. According to the applicant he had rendered nearly 32 years of service. The ^{grievance} ~~case~~ of the applicant is for Second Time Bound Promotion to the ~~applicant~~ ^{the} immediate junior had been promoted. So, for redressal of his grievance the applicant

had submitted a representation on 13.1.1992 to the Post Master General, Andhra Pradesh Northern Region, Hyderabad. Admittedly six months time had not ~~been~~ ^{the} elapsed from the date of said representation is submitted to the Post Master General, Hyderabad by the applicant for redressal of his grievance. So, in view of this position we are of the opinion that interests of Justice would be better served ~~even~~ ^{if} this OA is disposed of at the admission stage by giving appropriate directions to the respondents.

3. Hence we direct the respondents to dispose of the representation of the applicant dated 13.1.92 within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order and pass final orders thereon. The applicant continues to be

aggrieved by the final order passed by the respondents. The Applicant would be at liberty to approach this Tribunal afresh

in accordance with Law. With the said directions the OA is disposed of at the admission stage itself. We make

no order as to costs.

sd

(T. CHANDRASEKHARA REDDY)

Dated: 24th April, 1992.

Deputy Registrar

3

TYPED BY

COMPARED BY

CHECKED BY

APPROVED BY

THE HON'BLE MR.

V.C.

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. R. BALASUBRAMANIAN : M(A)

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. T. CHANDRASEKHAR REDDY;
MEMBER (JUDL)

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. C. J. ROY : MEMBER (JUDL)

Dated: 23/4-1992.

ORDER / JUDGMENT

R.A./C.A./M.A.No.

in

O.A.No.

T.A.No.

330/92 ✓

(W.P.No.)

Admitted and interim directions
issued

Disposed of with directions

Dismissed

Dismissed as withdrawn

Dismissed for Default.

M.A. Ordered/Rejected.

No order as to costs.

pvm.

