

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL : HYDERABAD BENCH

AT HYDERABAD

UT

OA No. 1019/92

Date of judgement: 18-2-93.

Between

Shri S. Padma Rao
Inspector of Central Excise & Customs
on deputation to the Directorate of
Revenue Intelligence, Bombay. : Applicant

And

1. The Collector of Customs &
Central Excise, Hyderabad.
2. The Deputy Collector (P&E),
Central Excise Collectorate,
Hyderabad. : Respondents

COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT : Shri V. Jogayya Sarma
COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENTS : Shri N.V. Raghava Reddy

CORAM

Hon'ble Justice Shri V. Neeladri Rao, Vice-Chairman.
Hon'ble Shri R. Balasubramanian, Member (Admn.)

(Judgement of the Divn. Bench delivered by Shri
Justice V. Neeladri Rao, Vice-Chairman).

The applicant herein filed this OA challenging the seniority list dated 28-6-91. The facts which are not in dispute are that some of the Inspectors of Excise in Hyderabad ^{and} Guntur Collectorates filed OA 156/86 challenging the earlier seniority list of Inspectors. The same was disposed of by the Bench of this Tribunal on 5-7-88 by directing the respondents ^{to prepare} ~~for the preparation~~ of a fresh seniority list by following the principles adumbrated in the judgement of the Supreme Court reported in SLJ 1983 (1) 564.

To

1. The Collector of Customs & Central Excise,
Hyderabad.
2. The Deputy Collector (P&E)
Central Excise Collectorate, Hyderabad.
3. One copy to Mr.V.Jogayya Sarma, Advocate, CAT.Hyd.
4. One copy to Mr.N.V.Raghava Reddy, Addl.CGSC.CAT.Hyd.
5. One spare copy.

pvm

u8

as
But it is a case of revising the seniority list of Inspectors numbering about 500, it is stated for the respondents that it will take considerable period for revision and hence in the meanwhile, the ad hoc appointments to the post of Superintendents on the basis of seniority list dated 28-6-91 are required to be made. Keeping in view the various factors, we feel that it is just and proper to pass the following order:

Revised seniority list as per the letter dated 3-11-92 has to be issued by the end of April, 1993. If any ad hoc promotions to the posts of Superintendents are going to be made in the meanwhile, it is needless to say that the same will be subject to the final seniority list that is to be issued. If for any reason, the seniority list is not going to be finalised by the end of April, 1993, there should not be even ad hoc promotions ^{after 3-4-83} to the posts of Superintendents of Central Excise and Customs within the ^{on the basis of existing seniority list} Collectorates in the A.P. State. The applicant has to be given promotion with effect from the date on which his juniors ^{is} ~~are~~ promoted either by way of regular promotion or ad hoc promotion with all consequential benefits.

The OA is disposed of accordingly with no costs.

He
(V. Neeladri Rao)
Vice-Chairman

R. Balasubramanian
(R. Balasubramanian)
Member (Admn.)

(Dictated in the open court)

NS

Dated 18th February, 1993

Deputy Registrar