

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL : HYDERABAD BENCH

AT HYDERABAD

O.A.No.1000/92

Date of Order: 16.11.1992

BETWEEN:

M.Satyaharayana

.. Applicant.

A N D

Union of India, rep. by its
Secretary, Ministry of Defence,
NEW DELHI.

2. The Scientific Adviser to the Minister of
Defence, Director General Research &
Development, Dte., of Personnel,
Ministry of Defence, DHQ PO NEW DELHI-11.

3. The Director,
Defence Metallurgical Research Lab.,
PO Kanchanbagh, Hyderabad - 500 258.

.. Respondents.

Counsel for the applicant

.. Mr.K.Sudhakar Reddy

Counsel for the Respondents

.. Mr.V.Rajeswara Rao
for
Mr.N.V.Ramana

CORAM:

HON'BLE SHRI T.CHANDRASEKHARA REDDY, MEMBER (JUDL.)

--- T. C. R. ---

P.D.

Order of the Single Member Bench delivered by
Hon'ble Shri T.Chandrasekhara Reddy, Member(Judl.).

This is an application filed under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act to direct the respondents to pay subsistence allowance to the applicant from 1.1.1986 on the basis of the revised pay scales together with arrears and to pass such other orders as may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

The facts giving rise to this OA in brief are as follows:

2. The applicant is an employee of the Defence Metallurgical Research Laboratory, Hyderabad. He was appointed as Orderly w.e.f. 17-9-1976. The respondents have kept the applicant under suspension w.e.f. 12.8.1976 as the departmental enquiry was pending against him. The departmental enquiry seems not to have been completed yet. The applicant is being paid subsistence allowance on the basis of the old pay scales. It is the case of the applicant that he is entitled for subsistence allowance on the basis of the revised pay scales from 1.1.1986 onwards together with all arrears. Hence the present OA for relief as already indicated above.


3. We have heard today Mr.K.Sudhakar Reddy, Advocate for the applicant and Mr.V.Rajeswara Rao for Mr.N.V.Ramana, Standing Counsel for the respondents.

4. ~~In~~ O.A.921/92 which is identical in all respects to this OA had come up for admission hearing before the Division Bench of this Tribunal on 22.10.1992. After hearing both sides the said OA.921/92 had been disposed of by giving certain directions. So, it would be fit and proper to dispose of this OA also with the same directions as given in O.A.921/92 ~~as per the orders dated 22.10.1992.~~

T. C. M. P.

.. 3 ..

5. Hence we direct the respondents to pay subsistence allowance to the applicant on the basis of the revised pay scales w.e.f. 1.1.1986 after deducting the amount that had already been paid to the applicant towards subsistence allowance on the basis of old pay scales. The rate at which the subsistence allowance that has got to be paid in the revised pay scales will be at the same rate that has been paid in the old pay scales. (50% or 75% as the case may be). Three months time is given to the respondents to implement the orders passed in this OA. The OA is allowed accordingly leaving the parties to bear their own costs.


(T.CHANDRASEKHARA REDDY)
Member (Judl.)

Dated: 16th November, 1992

(Dictated in Open Court)


Deputy Registrar(J)

To

1. The Secretary, Union of India,
Ministry of Defence, New Delhi.
2. The Scientific Adviser to the Minister of Defence,
Director General Research & Development,
Dte., of Personnel, DHQ PO, New Delhi-11.
3. The Director, Defence Metallurgical Research Lab.,
sd PO Kanchanbagh, Hyderabad-258.
4. One copy to Mr.K.Sudhakar Reddy, Advocate, CAT.Hyd.
5. One copy to Mr.N.V.Ramana, Addl.CGSC.CAT.Hyd.
6. One spare copy.

pvm.



3
TYPED BY

COMPARED BY

CHECKED BY

APPROVED BY

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD BENCH : HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. R. BALASUBRAMANIAN: M(A)

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. T. CHANDRASEKHAR REDDY:
M(JUDL)

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. C. J. ROY : MEMBER(JUDL)

Dated: 16-11-1992

ORDER/JUDGMENT:

R.A. /C.A. /M.A.No

in
O.A.No. 1000/92

T.A.No.

(wp.No)

Admitted and interim directions
issued.

Allowed

Disposed of with directions

Dismissed

Dismissed as withdrawn

Dismissed for default

M.A. Ordered/Rejected

No orders as to costs

pvm

Central Administrative Tribunal
DESP H.
26 NOV 1992
HYDERABAD BENCH.