

23

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL: HYDERABAD BENCH

AT HYDERABAD

QA.969/92

date of decision : 3-11-1992

Between

A. Shamshuddin

: Applicant

and

1. Sri V.N. Kapoor
Engineer-in-Chief
Army Headquarters
Kasmira House
New Delhi 110 011

2. Chief Engineer
Southern Command
Pune-1

3. Chief Engineer(P) R&D
Picket
Secunderabad

4. Mr. Hariswar Dayal
(s/o & age not known)
Garrison Engineer(P) (I) R&D
Chandrayangutta
PO Kesavagiri
Hyderabad 500005

: Respondents

Counsel for the applicant

: J. Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Counsel for the respondents

: M. Keshava Rao, Standing
Counsel for Central Govt.

CORAM

HON. MR. R. BALASUBRAMANIAN, MEMBER (ADMN.)

HON. MR. C.J. ROY, MEMBER (JUDL.)

JUDGEMENT

(Orders as per Hon. Mr. C.J. Roy, Member (Judl.))

Heard Mr. Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant by way of Lunch Motion. Heard Mr. Keshava Rao, learned counsel for the respondents.

To

1. Sri V.N.Kapoor
Engineer-in-Chief,
Army Headquarters,
Kasmire House, New Delhi-11.
2. The Chief Engineer,
Southern Command, Pune-1.
3. The Chief Engineer (P) R&D
Picket, Secunderabad.
4. Sri Hariswar Dayal.
Garrison Engineer (P) (I) R&D
Chandrayanagutta, PO Kesavagiri
Hyderabad-5.
5. One copy to Mr.J.Ashok Kumar, Advocate, 3-4-136/2
Lingampally, Barkatpura, Hyd.
6. One copy to Mr.M.Kesava Rao, Addl.CGSC.CAT.Hyd.
7. One spare copy.

pvm.

*2010 Aug
P.D.
4/11/4*

2. The applicant, Mr. A. Shamsuddin filed this DA under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 claiming a relief to set aside the transfer orders as per Annexure A-I, bearing No.MES/188/92 dated 29-9-1992.

3. We find from the records that he has been recently shifted from Visakhapatnam to Chandrayangutta. In the impugned order of transfer (Annexure-I), in which it is ordered that the transfer of applicant from Chandrayangutta to Hyderabad Zone.

4. On this transfer the applicant made a representation to the Department to consider on the grounds of his children's education being disturbed during the academic year. His representation is pending with the respondents.

5. The respondents are directed to dispose of the representation of the applicant. Till one week after the *final* orders ~~on final disposal~~ of the representation of the applicant, are passed, the transfer is kept under abeyance. If the applicant is aggrieved, he is at liberty to approach this Tribunal.

6. The impugned order No.MES/188/92 dated 29-9-1992 is suspended *till such time as stated supra*

7. With this direction the DA is disposed of with no order as to costs. CC tomorrow.

R. Balasubramanian
(R. BALASUBRAMANIAN)
Member (Admn)

C.J. Roy
(C.J. ROY)
Member (Judl)

dated November 3, 1992
Dictated in the Open Court

sk

84/11/92
Deputy Registrar (J).

ASK
6/11/92
TYPED BY (3)

COMPARED BY

CHECKED BY

APPROVED BY

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
HYDERABAD BENCH : HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.R.BALASUBRAMANIAN:M(A)

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.T.CHANDRASEKHAR REDDY:
M(JUDL)

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.C.J.ROY : MEMBER(JUDL)

Dated: 3 - 11 - 1992

ORDER/JUDGMENT:

R.A. /C.A. /M.A.No

in

O.A.No. 969/92

T.A.No.

(wp.No)

Admitted and interim directions
issued.

Allowed

Disposed of with directions

Dismissed

Dismissed as withdrawn

Dismissed for default

M.A.Ordered/Rejected

No orders as to costs.

pvm

Central Administrative Tribunal

DESPATCH

NOV 1992

HYDERABAD BENCH.