

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL:HYDERABAD BENCH  
AT HYDERABAD

M.A.No.1216/92 in

D.A.No.290/90

Dt. of Decision: 12-11-92

N. Lakshman Rao

Petitioner

K.S.R. Anjaneyulu

Advocate for  
the Petitioner  
(s)

Versus

Union of India, rep. by the Secretary to GOI,  
DG, Dept. of Posts, New Delhi & another

Respondent.

N.R. Devaraj

Advocate for  
the Respondent  
(s)

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. C.J. ROY, MEMBER(JUDL.)

THE HON'BLE MR.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment?
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal?
5. Remarks of Vice-Chairman on Columns 1,2,4 (to be submitted to Hon'ble Vice-Chairman where he is not on the Bench.)

avl/

(HCR)  
M(J)

(17)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL : HYDERABAD BENCH  
AT HYDERABAD

MA.1216/92 in  
OA.296/90)

date of Decision : 12-11-1992

Between

N. Lakshmana Rao

: Applicant

and

Union of India, rep. by  
1. The Secretary to the Govt. of India  
and Director General  
Department of Posts  
New Delhi

2. The Chief Postmaster General  
Andhra Pradesh Circle  
Hyderabad

: Respondents

Counsel for the applicant

: K.S.R. Anjaneyulu, Advocate

Counsel for the respondents

: N.R. Devaraj, Standing Counsel  
for Central Government

CORAM

HON. Mr. C.J. ROY, MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

JUDGEMENT

(Orders as per Hon. Mr. C.J. Roy, Member (Judicial))

Mr. K.S.R. Anjaneyulu, learned counsel for the applicant  
and Mr. N.R. Devaraj, learned counsel for the respondents are  
present and heard.

2. This petition is for rectification of a mistake that has  
inadvertently crept into the Judgement. The Judgement gave a  
direction to the respondents that the applicant's pay should  
be stepped up with effect from the date on which Sri GLN Sastry  
was given the benefit. He was given the benefit with effect

M

DRD  
7/11

from 1-3-1976 but it is stated as 1-7-1976. So, in the entire direction portion at page-7 wherever 1-7-1976 is there it is directed that 1-3-1976 may be substituted.

3. With the above direction the application is allowed with no order as to costs.

(C.J. ROY)  
Member(Judl.)

Dated : November 12, 1992  
Dictated in the Open Court

823/11/82  
Deputy Registrar(J)

sk

To

1. The Secretary to the Govt. of India, and Director General Union of India, Dept. of Posts, New Delhi.
2. The Chief Postmaster General, Andhra Pradesh Circle, Hyderabad.
3. One copy to Mr. K. S. R. Anjaneyulu, Advocate, CAT. Hyd.
4. One copy to Mr. N. R. Devraj, Sr. CGSC. CAT. Hyd.
5. One sparecopy.

pvm.

received  
Post  
7/11