

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL HYDERABAD BENCH AT HYDERABAD
 THE ~~THURSDAY~~ DAY THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
 ONE THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED AND EIGHTY NINE

: PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. B.N. DAYA SINHA : VICE-CHAIRMAN
 AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. D. SURYA RAO : MEMBER (JUDL)
 AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. D.K. CHAKRAVERTY : MEMBER (ADMN)
 AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. J. N. RAMESH MURTHY : MEMBER (JUDL)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION. 421 OF 1989

BETWEEN:-

T. Yelliah

...Applicants

AND

1) The Telecom. Distt. Engineer,

Malgonda.

2) Director - General, Telecom.
 (representing union of India)
 New Delhi - 110001.

Respondents

...Respondents

P.I
 E

61

78)

May 8 1971

long nose nos 1 (9)

245, India and

(A) square, rectangle & circle are closed figures.

(4) บันทึกโดย Mr. E. Mowbray Mowbray ปี ๑๘๘๒ ๑๘๘๓

breast - propriae ¹¹ hyaluronoblasts ' blow mes mes
mucoglycans ' 05/26/88 - 2-1 N. #1

8) our day is over now
" " sunflowers, and also
" " mushrooms, and also

new baby - 10001

~~we from Linguistics Dept~~

disorder, fatigue, memory, forgetfulness, depression, anxiety, and other symptoms.

• *spuribus* *nos*

11. The Talmudic Digest, English,


O.A. No. 421/89

(JUDGMENT OF THE TRIBUNAL DELIVERED BY HON'BLE MEMBER (J)
SHRI D.SURYA RAO)

The applicant herein claims that he has a right to be deputed for training as Lineman alongwith and before his juniors, and for appointment as Lineman pursuant to the Select List furnished in Memo. No.R&E.19/III/85-86/87 dated 13-8-85 issued by the first Respondent. He claims this right on the basis of the judgment of this Tribunal made in Review Applications 8 and 10 of 1988 in O.A.No.705/87. The case of the applicant is that 42 candidates were included in the Select List, that the Department has sent 17 candidates for training, and subsequently as a result of this Tribunal's judgment in Review Applications 8 and 10 of 1988 and the Department's order dated 20-4-1989, 19 more persons were sent for training. It is his case that he must also be sent for training.

2 Heard Shri C.Suryanarayana, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri E.Madan Mohan Rao, Addl.CGSC. The matter is covered by the decision of this Tribunal in Review Applications 8 and 10 of 1988. The applicant, as in the case of the applicants in those cases, ~~and~~ may be sent for training and could be absorbed in the vacancies, if they are available or in the vacancies which arise subsequently before absorption of persons selected in subsequent Select Lists, in accordance with their seniority.

(Dictated in open court).



(D.SURYA RAO)
MEMBER (J)


(D.K.CHAKRAVORTY)
MEMBER (A)

15th June 1989.

Furnished by
Monday
Bo
G.O.M.R.
S.O.S.P.M

mhb 12.3
II


S. Venkata
DEPUTY REGISTRAR (J)
A. P. P. T. O.