

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH, MUMBAI.

CONTEMPT PETITION NO: : 19/2000 in  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO: 505/98

TRIBUNAL'S ORDER

DATED: 10.11.2000

Shri G.S. Walia counsel for the applicant. Shri V.S. Masurkar counsel for respondent No.1 and 2. Shri Vadhavkar counsel for respondent No.3.

*This sentence recorded in  
lead is deleted vide  
Roznama Order dt 15/12/2000 B.N. Bahadur*

Shri Walia states that order dated 2.12.1999 has been implemented except for the fact that the benefit regarding carry forward of leave has not been allowed to him. In this connection he produced copy of the letter from DRM, Central Railway, Mumbai *addressed* to the applicant dated 13.9.2000. Order dated 13.9.2000 is taken on record.

The applicant may well be agrieved by this and therefore he has a fresh cause of action as per law. However this is not a matter to be considered in the Contempt Petition. The Contempt Petition is therefore rejected. Notice issued ~~are~~ withdrawn.

*S.L. Jain*  
(S.L. Jain)  
Member(J)

NS

*B.N. Bahadur*  
(B.N. Bahadur)  
Member(A)

*dt. 10/11/00*  
order/Judgment despatched  
to Applicant/Respondent(s)  
on *11/12/00*

*8*  
*4/12/00*