

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH

REVIEW APPLICATION NO.11 of 2002  
(In O.A.1169 of 1997)

Dated this Wednesday the 1st May, 2002

Union of India  
through the Divisional Railway Manager,  
Central Railway, Bhusawal. - Applicant

Versus

Kunjilal Vanmali Prasad,  
Helper Khalasi,  
I.O.W. Manmad  
R/o 'B' Road, Limpus Club,  
D.322/B, Outhouse, Bhusawal - Respondent

O R D E R

By Hon'ble Mr.B.N.Bahadur, Member (A) -

This is a Review Petition filed by Union of India through the DRM, Central Railway, Bhusawal (Respondents in OA 1169/97). The petitioner prays for a clarification in regard to the payment of cost as he states there is an error apparent on the face of record which seems to be a clerical error.

2. Para 6 of the order reads as follows:  
"6. It is unfortunate that the applicant has been made to come to the Court. He is hereby granted costs of Rs.2000/- (Rupees Two thousand only). The OA is disposed of accordingly. No costs."

3. It is clear that there is an error apparent on the face of the record and hence we proceed to correct it. From a reading of the above Para 6 in the Order it is seen that the cost of Rs.2000/- (Rupees Two thousand only) is consciously awarded in view of the reasons stated therein. The last two words viz. "No costs" have come in as a clerical error. In the circumstances, there is no need for hearing the other side.

....2/-

RA 11/02

:: 2 ::

4. In view of the above, we hereby order that the last two words viz. "No costs" appearing in Para 6 of the Order in OA 1169/97 be deleted. Fresh copies of the order be issued to both sides.

*S.L. Jain*  
(S.L.Jain)  
Member(J)

*B.N. Bahadur*  
(B.N. Bahadur)  
Member (A)

mb

*dt. 11/5/02*  
order/ind. is despatched  
to App. respondent (s)  
on *9/7/12*

*h*