O.A.Nos. 689/95, 690/95, 691/95 & 692/95. Dated: 27/7/95.

Shri Ramamurthy, Counsel for Applicant.

Hone for Respondents.

Though issued Dasti notice to Respondent-2 and by Speed Post to Respondent-1, neither department's representative nor any counsel has been engaged to appear in the Court today.

for Applicant draws my attention to letter issued by respondents dated 13/7/95 stating that as per the transfer order issued by respondents, the applicants are required to be relieved from their present stations of posting latest by 15/7/95. Since Officials affected have represented against their transfer and their representations are still under consideration and respondents have made amendment subsequently stating that "it has been decided that the deadline of 15/7/95 be extended by one month period. Accordingly, they are to be relieved by 14/8/95. However, Officials who wish to be relieved should be relieved forthwith. A decision on the representation made by each employee will be communicated shortly."

Therefore in the light of the above, the Learned Counsel for the Applicant submitted that the reply to the representation has not yet been given and they have extended the relieving date suo moto. In view of the said extension even, the applicant would not be benefitted.

In the circumstances, the Tribunal is of the view that though opportunity was given to respondents to appear today, they have failed to make their appearance and they have just responded by extending the period of relieving order passed in pursuance of the earlier order which only indicate that the exigency of service is not the criteria for their transfer outside Bombay.

Accordingly, in the facts and circumstances of the case, the OA is admitted and the Interim Order passed earlier is made absolute. The Respondents are directed to file reply within 6 weeks and the copy of the same be given to applicants well in advance.

The matter be listed for final hearing on 7/9/95. Copy of the order be given to parties.

(B.S.HEGDE) M(J)

JQ /o

abp.

Stroi Ranamurthy, Coursel for Applicant. Shri V.S. Massukar, Coursel for Respondents.

Shri Ramamuthy submits that when
Oroder oft. 11/8/95, Oroder No.
Was
V. 11/884/9/95 of transfer which was
impugned in the case of 4 applicants
impugned in the case of 4 applicants
in OA - 689/95, 690/95, 691/95 and
since
692/95 have been held in abeyone
butil the expiry of the current

Shi Ramamuthy Therefore seeks leave to withdraw the OA. Leave granted to withdraw the OA. Leave granted to withdraw as withdrawn. Godern is taken on viewed.

We clarify that in case the words which are held in modified in modified in modified in modified, are treated as revised, the applicant will be at like sty to file a fresh GH.

alep. (M. R. Kolhatkan),

Order/Judgement despatched
to Applicate recipied ant (s)

01/9/19