

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BENCH AT MUMBAI

272/95 & 1382/94
ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. _____/199

(5)

Date of Decision: ^{14.1.97} _____

S F Dixit (O.A.272/95)
S B Baile & 26 ors. (O.A.1382/94) Petitioner/s

Mr. MS Ramamurthy & Mr. S.S. Karker Advocate for the
Petitioner/s

V/s.

U.O.I. & Ors. Respondent/s


Mr. V.S. Masurkar Advocate for the
Respondent/s

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri B.S.Hegde, Member(J)

Hon'ble Shri M.R.Kolhatkar, Member(A)

- (1) To be referred to the Reporter or not ? ☒
- (2) Whether it needs to be circulated to ☒
other Benches of the Tribunal ?


Member(J)

trk

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BOMBAY BENCH, 'GULESTAN' BUILDING NO.6
PRESCOT ROAD, MUMBAI 400001

O.A. Nos. 272/95 and 1382/94

Dated : 14th January, 1997

Coram: Hon.Shri B S Hegde, Member(J)
Hon. Shri M R Kolhatkar, Member(A)

O.A.No. 272/95

Suresh Pandurang Dixit
M C Desai House
NBM-III/61
Pannalal Gosh Road, Malad(W)
Mumbai 64
(By Adv. Mr. S S Karkera)

..Applicant in
O.A.No. 272/95

V/s.

Union of India & 4 ors.
(By Mr. V S Masurkar,
Central Govt.Standing Counsel)

..Respondents

O.A. No. 1382/94

1. S N Baile
2. Mrs. S S Jayawant
3. Miss.Indira V K
4. Mrs. Rajshree S. Dhabade
5. Mrs. Shyamala Thyagarajan
6. P G Mohite
7. D T Sarang
8. A L Raibole
9. R A Bhurke
- 10 P D Dhonde
- 11 M S Patole
- 12 S G Solunke
- 13 Abdul Salam
- 14 A N Patkar
- 15 R V Patkar
- 16 D V Shetty
- 17 M P Suthar
- 18 S K Kunder
- 19 S R Sutar
- 20 A D Waikar
- 21 M H Chawan
- 22 N B Kuntoi
- 23 V G Kumbhar
- 24 V B Umbare
- 25 A V Dhawan
- 26 S P Dixit
- 27 P R Sonar

Regional Design and
Technical Development
Centre, Cora Centre,
Shimpoli Village
Borivali, Mumbai
(By Adv.Mr. M S Ramamurthy
with Mr. S N Pillai)

..Applicants in
O.A.No.1382/94

V/s.

Union of India
through the Secretary
Ministry of Textiles
Govt. of India
Udyog Bhavan
New Delhi 110001 & ors.
(By Mr. V S Masurkar
Central Govt. Standing Counsel)

..Respondents

O R D E R

[Per: B S Hegde, Member (J)]

Heard the Ld. Counsel for the parties.

2. Ld. Counsel Mr. M S Ramamurthy submits that most of the applicants are absorted in Bombay and the O.A. could be disposed subject to settlement of payment of their wages for the intervening period. He submits that in this connection the applicants would be making a representation to the competent authority and prays that a direction be given to the competent authority to decide such representation within three months.

3. Mr. Karkera Ld. Counsel for applicant in O A NO.272/95 submits that the applicant had resumed to his duties on 29.6.95 at Regional Design and Technical Development Centre, Bhopal, and prays that the applicant be posted back to the office of Regional Director (WR), Mumbai. He submits that the applicant be permitted to make a fresh representation for reconsidering his posting to the office of Region Director (WR), Mumbai.


4. We hereby direct the competent authority to decide the representation of the


..2/-

BSH

applicants in O.A.No. 1382/94 within three months from the date of receipt of the representation from the applicants. Similarly the respondents are directed to consider the representation of applicant in OA No.272/95, since other employees have been absorbed at Mumbai, and pass appropriate orders within three months from the date of receipt of the representation. In case the applicants are aggrieved, they are at liberty to move the Tribunal by filing a fresh O.A.

5. Both the O.As. are disposed of with the above direction with no order as to costs.


(M.R. Kolhatkar)
Member(A)


(B.S. Hegde)
Member(J)

trk