

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BOMBAY BENCH, 'GULESTAN' BUILDING NO.6
PRESCOT ROAD, MUMBAI-1

CAMP: PANAJIM, GOA

O.A. No. 1304/95

Dated : 9th April 1997

Coram : Hon. Shri B.S. Hegde, Member(J)
Hon. Shri P.P. Srivastava, Member(A)

1. Mrs. Requetta D'Costa
(nee Requetta Fernandes)
 2. Mrs. S.S. Mayeakar
(nee Shobha Vernekar)
 3. Mrs. S.R. Bhisu
(nee N.L. Alva)
 4. Mrs. M.V. Naik
(nee M.D. Shirodkar)
 5. Mrs. Zarina Ismail
(nee Z.S. Shaikh)
 6. Mrs. Manisha Sinai
(nee Amita Bhat)
 7. Mrs. Rosy Fernandes
 8. Mrs. P.P. Phadte
(nee Amita Bharna)
 9. Miss. Catherina Fernandes
 - 10 Mr. B.P. Gaonkar
 - 11 Mr. S.D. Sawant
 - 12 Mr. Raju Kudalkar
 - 13 Mr. Gajanan Sambari
- Applicants 1 to 12 working as LDC
and Applicant No.13 working as
Asstt. Librarian in different units
under Flag Officer Commanding,
Goa area, Vasco-da-Gama
C/o. S.G. Bhobe,
Opp. Azad Maidan,
M.G. Road, Panaji, Goa.

(By Adv. Mr. S.G. Bhobe)

..Applicants

V/s.

1. Union of India
through Secretary
Ministry of Defence
New Delhi

BSL

.2.

2. Chief of Naval Staff
Naval Headquarters
New Delhi
3. Flag Officer Commanding-
in-Chief, HQ, Southern
Naval Command, Cochin 6
4. Flag Officer,
Goa Officer Goa Area,
Vascoda-Gama, Goa

(By Mr. S.R. Rivankar, Counsel)

..Respondents

ORDER

(Per: B.S. Hegde, Member(J))

Heard Mr. S.G. Bhobe, counsel for the Applicant and Mr. S.R. Rivankar, Counsel for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Counsel for the applicants submits that the subject matter is covered by the judgment of this Tribunal in O.A. No.516 and 573 of 1988 and also by the judgment of the Andhra Pradesh High Court in W.P. Nos. 239/80 and 7269/81 decided on 20.12.85 and 17.11.83 respectively.


3. The applicants in this case are seeking regularisation with effect from 1983 i.e., from the date of their appointment. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that some of the applicants have already been absorbed on regular basis. He further states that ~~the~~ remaining applicants would be absorbed and regularised as and when vacancies arise and all the benefits that would be available for the casual service according to the rules would be given to them.


PM

.3.

4. Counsel for the Applicants states that the applicants are still working and their services should not be terminated until they are absorbed.

5. We hereby direct the respondents to regularise the remaining applicants as and when the vacancies arise and their services should not be terminated till then. With this direction the O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.


(P.P. Srivastava)
Member(A)


(B.S. Hegde)
Member(J)

trk