

2

BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
BOMBAY BENCH, BOMBAY.

Original Application No.1344/94.

J.P.Sargayye. ... Applicant.

V/s.

Union of India & Ors. ... Respondents.

Coram: Hon'ble Shri P.P.Srivastava, Member(A).

Appearances:-

Applicant by Shri P.V.Daware.  
Respondents by Shri S.S.Karkera.

Oral Judgment:-

(Per Shri P.P.Srivastava, Member(A)) Dt. 3.2.1995.

Heard Shri P.V.Daware, counsel for the  
applicant and Shri S.S.Karkera, counsel for the  
Respondents.

2. The applicant in this case who is a  
daughter of the deceased employee of the department  
would make an application <sup>for compassionate ground appointment</sup> to the Administration *REL*  
bringing out all the factors concerning her  
monetary conditions etc. for consideration of the  
department according to rules. This may be done  
within a period of one month and the department may  
consider the application within a period of three  
months after that.

3. The O.A. is accordingly disposed of.

*(Signature)*  
(P.P.SRIVASTAVA)  
MEMBER(A).

B.

147/019

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

GULESTAN BLDG. NO. 6, PRESCOT ROAD, 4TH FLR.,

MUMBAI - 400 001.

C.P.No. 55/96 in

Dated: 13th Sept, 96.

O.A.No. 1344/94.

**GORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.S.Hegde, Member (J).**

**Hon'ble Shri M.R.Kobhatkar, Member (A).**

**Jaishree Pralhad Sargayee**

**... Applicant.**

**By Advocate Shri P.V.Daware**

**v/s.**

1. Shri Y.D.Mathur,  
The Post Master General,  
Aurangabad Division,  
Aurangabad.
2. Shri N.K.Agrawal,  
The Senior Supdt. R.M.S.L.,  
Division, Bhusawal Dist.,  
Jalgaon.

3. Shri S.R.Joshi,  
The Senior Superintendent,  
of Post Office, Aurangabad,  
Division, Aurangabad.

**... Respondents.**

**By Advocate Shri S.S.Karkera for  
Shri P.M.Pradhan.**

**ORAL ORDER**

The respondents have filed the reply to CP-55/96.

Respondents were directed to consider the request of applicant dated 7/3/1995.

The respondents have considered the request of the applicant and found that the deceased employees family is not in indigent condition, therefore, the Relaxation Committee has rejected the appeal for compassionate appointment.

In the circumstances, we find there is no merit in the CP and no contempt is made out and CP-55/96 is discharged.

CP-55/96 is disposed of as discharged.

Certified True Copy  
Date .....

Section  
Central  
Board  
Tribunal

110  
PTO