

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BOMBAY BENCH  
-----

Original Application No: **873/94**

Transfer Application No: **--**

DATE OF DECISION: **27-7-94**

**S.K. Malhotra**

Petitioner

**Mr. S.P. Saxena**

Advocate for the Petitioners

Versus

**Union of India & Ors.**

Respondent

**Mr. R.K. Shetty**

Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Shri **Justice M.S. Deshpande, V.C.**

The Hon'ble Shri **--**

1. To be referred to the Reporter or not ? *W*
2. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ? *W*

M

*(Signature)*  
(M.S. DESHPANDE)  
VC

BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BOMBAY BENCH

O.A.873/94

S.K.Malhotra

.. Applicant

-versus-

Union of India & Ors.

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.S.Deshpande  
Vice-Chairman

Appearances:

1. Mr.S.P.Saxena  
Counsel for the  
Applicant.

2. Mr.R.K.Shetty  
Counsel for the  
Respondents.

ORAL JUDGMENT:

Date: 27-7-94

(Per M.S.Deshpande, V.C.)

Heard Mr.S.P.Saxena for the  
applicant and Mr.R.K.Shetty counsel for the  
respondents. The only ground on which the  
impugned order of transfer is being  
questioned is that the applicant is facing  
a trial in a criminal court and the argu-  
ments have been heard ~~at present~~ and the  
matter is likely to be disposed of shortly.

2. Since the criminal case <sup>has</sup> arisen  
out of an FIR filed in 1982 and the applicant  
is being transferred from Pune to Delhi  
it will be equitable to hold the transfer <sup>in abeyance</sup>  
for a period of four weeks. The respondents  
shall not enforce the order of transfer for  
four weeks from today. O.A. disposed of with  
this direction.

3. DASTI

  
(M.S.DESHPANDE)  
V.C.