

BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

BOMBAY BENCH CAMP AT NAGPUR.

REVIEW PETITION NO. (N) 6/95 in

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO: 1246/94.

Ganesh Ramraoji Raut

... Applicant.

V/s.

The Senior Supdt. of Post Offices,

Amravati Division, Amravati and 2 others ... Respondents.

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.S. Deshpande, Vice Chairman.

Hon'ble Shri P.P. Srivastava, Member(A).

TRIBUNAL'S ORDER:

DATED : 21-04-1995

{ Per Shri P.P. Srivastava, Member(A). }

We have gone through the Review Application submitted by the Applicant. We do not find any new facts brought out in the Review Application which would warrant review of the judgement which has already been pronounced. Applicant has stated that he has worked for 258 days while in the OA, this figure was given as 224 days uninterrupted. The change in the number of days of work has arisen because the Applicant had been working for a day or two on different occasions before he worked continuously for 224 days from 23/10/93 to 3/6/94 which fact was already available in the OA. The fact of the applicant's appearing in interview again was already available and has also been brought out in the judgement wherein it has been mentioned that he appeared at second interview which was held on 14/6/94 but was not selected.

The Applicant has also brought out in para-6 of the Review Application that provisions of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 Sec-25f will be applicable in this case. This pleading has been made in the Review Application and was not made in the OA. No foundation has been laid as to how the applicant would be Governed by the Industrial Disputes Act.

...2/-