

243  
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BOMBAY BENCH

Original Application No: O.A.592/93

Transfer Application No: ---

DATE OF DECISION

22-11-93

S.M.Matai & Ors.

Petitioner

Mr.R.C.Ravalani

Advocate for the Petitioners

Versus

U.O.I. & Ors.

Respondent

Mr.R.K.Shetty

Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM:

The Hon'ble Shri Justice M.S.Deshpande, V.C.

The Hon'ble Shri M.Y.Priolkar, Member(A)

1. whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement ? *yes*
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement ?
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ?

*M.S.Deshpande*  
(M.S.DESHAPANDE)  
VC

NS/

3  
BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BOMBAY BENCH

O.A.592/93 and O.A.593/93

(1) Shri S.M.Matai & Ors. .. Applicants in  
O.A.592/93

(2) Shri Abraham Mathew & Ors. .. Applicants in  
O.A.593/93

-versus-

Union of India & Ors. .. Respondents in  
both the above  
applications.

Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.S.Deshpande  
Vice-Chairman

Hon'ble Shri M.Y.Priolkar, Member(A)

Appearances:

(1) Mr.R.C.Ravalani  
Advocate for the  
Applicants.

(2) Mr.R.K.Shetty  
Counsel for the  
Respondents.

ORAL JUDGMENT:  
(Per M.S.Deshpande, V.C.)

Date: 22-11-93

An identical matter had come up for  
consideration before the Calcutta Bench of this  
Tribunal in O.A. No.25/93 decided on 15-6-93 and  
the learned counsels were agreed that this matter  
should also be decided in terms of the order which  
has been passed there. We, therefore, direct as  
follows :

"In terms of the judgment dt. 1-3-89  
passed in O.A. 495/86 and judgment  
dt. 16-7-90 passed in O.A.282/89, the  
applicants whose seniority has already  
been fixed be given notional benefits  
of promotion and actual benefit of  
promotion should be given from the  
prospective date of promotion. The  
applicants shall draw their higher  
pay from the actual date of their  
promotion but their pay on such

promotion should be fixed as if they had actually been promoted on the dates they were found fit for promotion, with reference to their juniors. The implementation of this judgment shall, however, be subject to the final revision to be made by the respondents in respect of the seniority position of all concerned employees in the light of the judgment of Supreme Court in Paluru's case which has again been reiterated in K.K.M.Nair's case."

We do not agree to the request of the applicants counsel that we should fix the actual date of promotion. This obviously cannot be made unless the overall seniority is fixed. The implementation of the judgment should be made within a period as stipulated by the Calcutta Bench.

2. O.A. disposed of accordingly.

*MP*  
(M.Y.PRIYOLKAR)  
Member(A)

*MSD*  
(M.S.DESHPANDE)  
Vice-Chairman

M