

②

Transfer Application No.

1

Petitioner

Advocate for the Petitioner

Versus

Respondent

Advocate for the Respondent(s)

Coram :

The Hon'ble Shri Justice M.S.Deshpande, Vice-Chairman.

The Hon'ble Shri

- wed to  
ye

*W. L. G.*

6

BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

BOMBAY BENCH

Original Application No.569/93.

Shri S.A.Pradhan.

.... Applicant.

V/s.

Union of India & Ors.

.... Respondents.

Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.S.Deshpande, Vice-Chairman.

Appearances:-

Shri B.Dattamoorthy for  
the applicant.

Oral Judgment:-

[Per Shri M.S.Deshpande, Vice-Chairman] Dt. 28.6.1993.

Heard Shri B.Dattamoorthy for the applicant. The representation was rejected on two grounds, firstly being that it was not made within five years of joining the service and secondly the date of birth did not appear to have been recorded through any mistake. The extract of the birth register has been produced, but obviously it did not mention the name of the applicant. The applicant has not produced the extracts of the register of births in respect of his siblings in order to show when they were born. In these circumstances, nothing turns upon the extracts that has been produced.

2. There is no merit in the application. Dismissed accordingly.

  
(M. S. DESHPANDE)  
VICE-CHAIRMAN

B.