

(3)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BOMBAY BENCH, 'GULESTAN' BUILDING NO.6  
PRESCOT ROAD, BOMBAY 1

R.P. NO. 139/93

IN

O.A.NO. 966/93

Mahesh Laxman

..Applicant

V/s

Union of India & Ors.

..Respondents

Coram: Hon.Shri Justice M.S. Deshpande, V.C.

Hon.Shri N.K. Verma, Member(A)

• TRIBUNALS ORDER:

[Per: N.K. Verma, Member (A)]

DATED: 26.5.94


This is a review petition against our judgment-  
/order in OA no. 966/1993 under which the application  
was rejected by our order dated 11.10.1993.


The review applicant has not brought out any  
new and important matter or evidence which, after the  
exercise of due diligence was not within his knowledge  
or could not be produced by him at the time when the  
order was made. The applicant in the O.A. had sought  
relief for being employed anywhere in India in the R.E.  
Project with a lien at Nagpur Division. The applicant  
had been clearly told by the respondents that Nagpur  
Project work was on the verge of completion and as the  
labour strength was reduced he could not be continued  
and the question of his appointment will not arise.  
He was also informed by the respondents that if he had

N.K.V.

any further correspondence to make in this regard, he should approach another authority called C.E.(EP) RE Nagpur. However, in stead of approaching that authority, the applicant had rushed to the Tribunal vide O.A. which was rejected.

Since there is no valid contention for review and there is no mistake or error apparent on the face of the record ~~and hence~~ this Review Petition is rejected.

  
(N.K. Verma)  
Member(A)

  
(M.S. Deshpande)  
Vice Chairman