

②

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BOMBAY BENCH, 'GULESTAN' BUILDING NO.6  
PRESCOT ROAD, BOMBAY-1  
CAMP: NAGPUR

OA Nos. 45/93; 46/93; 50/93 & OA No.67/93.

1. Namdeo Kacharu -Vaidh

2. Satyawan Namdeo Vaidh  
residents of Parsodi,  
Post Jawahar Nagar;  
Dist. Bhandara

..Applicants in  
OA 45/93

1. Pusaram Gawatu Wadibhasme

2. Gowardhan Pusaram Wadibhasme  
both adult residents of Niharwani  
Talq. Mouda, Dist. Nagpur

.. Applicants in  
OA 46/93

1. Ganpat Motiram Vairagade

2. Dnyaneshwar Ganpat Vairagade  
r/o Parsodi; Post Jawahar Nagar  
Dist. Bhandara

..Applicants in  
OA 50/93

1. Hariram Shyamrao Pawar

2. Raji Hariram Pawar  
r/p. Near Petrol Pump  
Jawahar Nagar  
Dist. Bhandara

..Applicants in  
OA 67/93

V/s.

1. Union of India through  
the Chairman  
Ordnance Factories Board  
11-A Auckland Road  
Calcutta & Another

..Respondents in  
all the above  
four OAs.

Coram: Hon.Shri Justice M.S.Deshpande, V.C.

ORAL JUDGMENT:

DATE: 23.7.93

(Per: M.S.Deshpande, Vice Chairman)

Heard Mr. Mohan Sudame, learned counsel  
for the applicant and Ms. Thompson for Mr. Ramesh  
Darda, counsel for the respondents.

It is apparent that by the earlier  
order passed on 18.9.92 in OA no. 499/92, the  
General Manager was directed to pass speaking  
order and consequently he passed the order dated  
28.10.1992 which is being impugned here.

-2-

The submission of Shri Sudame is that there are others in whose case exception was taken. But it does not appear that such a stand was taken in the earlier applications. If such a stand has not been taken earlier and the General Manager is not appraised and it is sought to be urged now, the order would not be bad.

In the result we see no merit in all the four applications and accordingly they are dismissed.