

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE BENCH

Second Floor,
Commercial Complex,
Indiranagar,
BANGALORE- 560 038.

Dated: 7 NOV 1994

APPLICATION NO: 1842 of 1994

APPLICANTS:- K. Rajkumar
V/S.

RESPONDENTS:- Secy, M/o Defence & 3rs.

I.

1. Shri. A.S. Natarajan, Advocate
No. 25, 4th 'B' Street,
Chandni Chok Road Cross.
Shivaji Nagar Bus Stand,
Behind St. Mary's Church,
Bangalore - 560051.
2. The Commander,
Takaniki Group Vaidut Aur
Yantrik Ingineyeri Mukhyalaya,
Headquarters Technical Group (EME)
Delhi Ratt Cantt.
Delhi - 110010.

Subject:- Forwarding of copies of the Orders passed by the
Central Administrative Tribunal, Bangalore.
--xx--

Please find enclosed herewith a copy of the ORDER/
~~STAY ORDER/INTERIM ORDER/~~ passed by this Tribunal in the above
mentioned application(s) on 4th November 1994

Issued on
7/11/94 *olc*
Gah

gm*

[Signature]
10/11/94
for DEPUTY REGISTRAR
JUDICIAL BRANCHES.

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE BENCH: :BANGALORE

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1842/94

FRIDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1994

Shri A.N.Vujjanaradhya, ...Member (J)

Shri T.V.Ramanan. ...Member (A)

K.Rajkumar, 33 years,
S/o Sri A.K.R.Kurup,
Abhilash, 6/52 I Cross,
E.G.Puram, Vivekanagar,
Bangalore-560 047. ...Applicant

Advocate by Shri A.S.Natarajan.

Versus

1. The Secretary,
Ministry of Defence,
Government of India,
New Delhi.
2. The Director General,
EME Civil,
Army Headquarters,
D.HQ. P.C.
New Delhi.
3. The Commander,
Takaniki Group Vaidut Aur
Yantrik Ingineyari Mukhyalaya,
Headquarters Technical Group (EME),
Delhi Cantt.10.
4. The Commandant,
515 Army Base Workshop,
Ulsoor,
Bangalore-560 008. ...Respondents

O R D E R

Shri T.V.Ramanan, Member (A)

We have heard the learned counsel for the



applicant.

2. In this case, the applicant was removed from service by order dated 28th March, 94 after an enquiry was conducted under rule 14 of the CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965. Against this order, the applicant filed an appeal before the Appellate Authority i.e. Respondent No.3 on 18th April, 94. Apparently, the appeal having not been disposed of even after a period of six months, the applicant has come to this Tribunal seeking relief. We, however, consider that it would be most appropriate if we were to direct Respondent No.3 to dispose of the appeal within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order by him. We accordingly direct. The order to be passed shall be a speaking order. A copy of this application may be made available to Respondent No.3.

Sd-

(T.V. RAMANAN)
MEMBER (A)

Sd-

(A.N.VUJJANARADHYA)
MEMBER (J)



TRUE COPY

Section Officer
Central Administrative Tribunal
Bangalore Bench
Bangalore