

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BANGALORE BENCH  
\*\*\*\*\*

Commercial Complex (BDA)  
Indiranagar  
Bangalore - 560 038

Dated : 14 JUL 1993

APPLICATION NO (S) 144/93

W.P. NO (S) —

Applicant (s)

Respondent (s)

To B.V. Srinivasarao vs. WOI Sothurs

1. B.V. Srinivasarao, Asst Director  
Office of the Post-Master General,  
Karnataka Circle, Bangalore-1.
2. Union of India by its Secretary  
Department of Posts, Ministry of  
Communications, Dak Bhavan,  
New Delhi-1.
3. Director General (Posts),  
Dak Bhavan, New Delhi-1.
4. Chief Post Master General  
Karnataka Circle, Bangalore-1.
5. Sri. A.R. Holla, Advocate, No. 3 II Floor  
Sujatha Complex I Stage, Gandhi Nagar  
Bangalore-9.
6. Sri. M. Vasudeva Rao Central Govt  
Standing Counsel, High Court Building  
Bangalore.

Subject : FORWARDING COPIES OF THE ORDER PASSED BY THE BENCH

Please find enclosed herewith a copy of the ORDER/STAY  
INTERIM ORDER passed by this Tribunal in the above said  
application (s) on 30.6.93.

Forwarded on 14/7/93

ok

M. Jee S  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR  
(JUDICIAL)

14/7/93

BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BANGALORE BENCH: BANGALORE

DATED THIS THIRTIETH DAY OF JUNE, 1993

Present: Hon'ble Justice Mr. P.K.Shyamsundar, Vice Chairman  
Hon'ble Mr. V.Ramakrishnan, Member (A)

APPLICATION NO.144/93

B.V.Srinivasaiah,  
S/o Venkatramaiah,  
aged 54 years, working  
as Assistant Director in  
the office of  
Chief Post-master General,  
Karnataka Circle,  
Bangalore-560 001.

... Applicant

(Shri A.R.Holla - Advocate)

Versus

1. Union of India  
by its Secretary,  
Department of Posts,  
Ministry of Communications,  
Dak Bhavan, New Delhi-110 001.
2. Director General (Posts)  
Dak Bhavan,  
New Delhi-110 001.
3. Chief Post Master General  
Karnataka Circle,  
Bangalore-560 001.

.. Respondents

(Shri M.Vasudeva Rao - Advocate)

This application having come up for hearing  
before this Tribunal today, Hon'ble Justice Mr.P.K.Shyam-  
sundar made the following:

O R D E R

In similar cases we have granted the relief  
of exercising of option although little belatedly.  
Following our judgment in the other cases, we allow this  
application and issue a direction to consider the option  
exercised by the applicant after the deadline and to see



how best his rights could be regulated. With this observation, this application stands disposed off.

Sd-

Sd-

MEMBER (A )

VICE CHAIRMAN



TRUE COPY

*[Signature]*  
SECTION OFFICER  
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
ADDITIONAL BENCH  
BANGALORE

14/7/23