

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE BENCH

Second Floor,
Commercial Complex,
Indiranagar,
Bangalore-560 038.

Dated:- 8 JUN 1994

APPLICATION NUMBER: 1023 of 1993

APPLICANTS:

Sri.N.Jayaram

1.

RESPONDENTS:

v/s. The Chairman, National Airports Authority,
New Delhi and Others.

1. Sri.T.R.Sridhar, Advocate,
No.11, Second Floor, First Cross,
Sujatha Complex, Gandhinagar,
Bangalore-9.
2. The Director, National Airports Authority,
Bangalore.
3. Shri.M.Vasudeva Rao, Addl.C.G.S.C.
High Court Bldg, Bangalore-1.

Subject:- Forwarding of copies of the Orders passed by the
Central administrative Tribunal, Bangalore.

Please find enclosed herewith a copy of the ORDER/
STAY ORDER/INTERIM ORDER/, passed by this Tribunal in the above
mentioned application(s) on 01-6-1994.

S. Ramesh
DEPUTY REGISTRAR
JUDICIAL BRANCHES.

gm*

9/11

Issued
26m

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE BENCH, BANGALORE

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1023/93

WEDNESDAY THIS THE FIRST DAY OF JUNE, 1994

MR. JUSTICE P.K. SHYAMSUNDAR VICE CHAIRMAN
MR. T.V. RAMANAN MEMBER (A)

Shri N. Jayaram,
16, 5th Main, Vasanthnagar,
Bangalore - 560 052 Applicant

(By Advocate Shri T.R. Sridhar)

v.

1. The Chairman,
National Airports Authority,
Safdarjung Airport,
New Delhi - 110066
2. The Director General (Civil Aviation),
D.G.C.A. Complex,
Opp. Safdarjung Airport,
New Delhi - 110 003
3. Union of India,
represented by
Secretary to Govt. of India,
Ministry of Civil Aviation,
New Delhi

Respondents

(By learned Standing Counsel)
Shri M.V. Rao

O R D E R

MR. JUSTICE P.K. SHYAMSUNDAR, VICE CHAIRMAN

This application is barred by time. There are no good reasons to condone the delay as well. M.P. seeking condonation of delay is hereby rejected. Consequently, original application also stands rejected. Shri T.R. Sridhar, learned counsel for the applicant vehemently submits that he should be given time to file an additional

statement in support of the application for
condonation of delay. We think it inappropriate
to give any further opportunity to the applicant
to augment the application already on record
for the court cannot become ^{or} handy instrument
to embellish and augment his case as well.
Hence we ^{renewed} reject the application for condonation
of delay and in consequence dismiss the O.A. as
barred by time.

Sd-
(T.V. RAMANAN)
MEMBER (A)

Sd-
(P.K. SHYAMSUNDAR)
VICE CHAIRMAN

TRUE COPY

ua

Sd- R. Sankar
SECTION OFFICER
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
ADD. JUDGE BENCH
LANGALORE