

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BOMBAY BENCH

(3)

Original Application No. 658/92

Shri Durve Prafullachandra Sridhar

... Applicant.

V/s.

Union of India represented  
by the Chief General Manager,  
Bombay Telephones,  
Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Ltd.  
Prabhadevi, Bombay.

... Respondent.

Original Application No. 680/92

Shri Y.B. Deshpande

... Applicant

V/s.

Union of India represented  
by the Chief General Manager,  
Bombay Telephones, MTNL,  
Bombay.

... Respondent.

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri Justice S.K. Dhaon, Vice Chairman  
Hon'ble Shri M.Y. Priolkar, Member (A)

Appearance:

Shri B.W. Vaidya, counsel  
for the applicant.

Shri R.C. Kotiankar, counsel  
for the respondent.

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Dated: 17.8.92

¶ Per Shri S.K. Dhaon, Vice Chairman¶

The applicant has been placed under suspension from 24.7.91. He came with the allegation that since the charge sheet has not been given to him the order of suspension may be revoked. We are informed that now, the charge sheet has been given. Learned counsel for the applicant states that within 24 hours of receipt of charge sheet the applicant has furnished his reply. Learned counsel for the applicant also states that he will not now press this application provided this Tribunal passes an appropriate order directing the disciplinary authority to pass final order in the disciplinary proceedings expeditiously.

Shri Dinkar has been heard in opposition of this application.

(u)

: 2 :

We direct the disciplinary authority to pass a final order in the disciplinary proceedings as expeditiously as possible but not beyond the period of six months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. The applicant is permitted to transmit a certified copy of this order under registered post A/D. We make it clear that disciplinary authority will be obliged to carry out our directions only if the applicant co-operates in the disciplinary proceedings. If the applicant does not co-operate, it will be open to the disciplinary authority to take its own time. We also reserves the right of the disciplinary authority to approach us for extension of time, if necessary.

With these directions this application is disposed off finally.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BOMBAY BENCH.

C.P.No.67/94 in O.A.658/92  
C.P.No.65/94 in O.A.680/92.

(5)

1. Shri Durve P. Sridhar  
2. Shri Y.B. Deshpande

... Applicants.

V/s.  
Union of India  
(M.T.N.L.)

.... Respondents.

Coram: Hon'ble Member (J), Shri B.S. Hegde,  
Hon'ble Member (A), Shri P.P. Srivastava.

TRIBUNAL'S ORDER:

Dt. 4-7-94.

Shri B. Dattamoorthy counsel for the  
applicant. Shri R.C. Kotiankar, counsel for the  
respondents.

The Tribunal has passed an order dated  
17.8.92 directing the respondents to complete the  
enquiry proceedings and pass a final order within  
a period of six months from the date of receipt  
of a certified copy of this order. <sup>They</sup> also observed  
that if the applicant does not co-operate, it will  
be open to the disciplinary authority to take its  
own time. It is further <sup>observed</sup> ~~stated~~ that the disciplinary  
authority has the right to approach the Tribunal  
for extension of time, if necessary. Learned  
counsel for the respondents stated that the presenting  
Officer was involved in security of state matters,  
the Bombay Blast case, therefore he could not  
co-operate with the disciplinary proceedings hence  
the delay in completion with the disciplinary  
proceedings.

Learned counsel for the respondents  
submits that further time of six <sup>months</sup> ~~weeks~~ may be granted  
by which time they will complete the disciplinary  
proceedings and will pass a final order. In the  
circumstances time prayed for is granted. Respondents  
are directed to complete the disciplinary proceedings  
within six weeks. C.P. No. 67/94 and 65/94 are  
disposed of accordingly.

No. CAT/BOM/JUDL/O.A.658/92  
& O.A.680/92/-

Dt. 7/7/94.

Copy to:

1. Shri V. K. Deshpande