

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BOMBAY BENCH

(4)

O.A. NO: 30/92

199

T.A. NO:

DATE OF DECISION 10.4.1992

SHRI LAXMI NARAIN

Petitioner

G.R. Meghani

Advocate for the Petitioners

Versus

The Union of India and ors.

Respondent

Advocate for the Respondent(s)


CORAM:

The Hon'ble Mr. M.Y. PRIOLKAR, MEMBER(A)

The Hon'ble Mr.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement ? ☒
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ? ☒
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement ? ☒
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ? ☒

mbm*


(M.Y. PRIOLKAR)
M/A

(B)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BOMBAY BENCH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.30/92

SHRI LAXMI NARAIN,

....Applicant

V/s

The Union of India, and ors.

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MEMBER SHIR M.Y.PRIOLKAR, MEMBER(A)

Appearance:

Mr.G.R.Menghani, Adv.
for the applicant.

None for Respondents No.1,2, and3.


MR.Anant Prasad,
for Respondent No.4

ORAL JUDGEMENT

APR
10TH ~~MARCH~~ 1992

(PER : M.Y.PRIOLKAR, M/A)

The counsel for the applicant states that,
the applicant ^{does} ~~is~~ not wish to pursue this application
and prayed for permission to withdraw this application.
This application ^{is} accordingly dismissed as withdrawn by
the applicant. There is no order as to costs.


(M.Y.PRIOLKAR)
MEMBER(A)