

BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BOMBAY BENCH  
CIRCUIT SITTING AT NAGPUR

(4)

O.A.499/92

N.K.Vaidh & 7 others. .. Applicants

vs.

Union of India and ors. .. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice S.K.Dhaon,  
Vice-Chairman.

Hon'ble Shri M.Y.Priolkar,  
Member(A)

Appearances:

1. Mr.M.M.Sudame  
Advocate for the  
Applicants.
2. Mr.Ramesh Darda  
Counsel for the  
Respondents.

Oral JUDGMENT: Date: 18-9-1992  
[Per S.K.Dhaon, Vice-Chairman]

This is an application on  
behalf of four set of persons desiring  
appointments on compassionate ground.

2. Annexure VI to the application  
is the order passed by the Assistant Works  
Manager on behalf of the General Manager  
refusing the request of the applicants to  
give them compassionate appointments.

3. Mr.Ramesh Darda has put in  
appearance on behalf of the respondents and  
has been heard. In view of the order we are  
about to pass it is not necessary to call  
for a reply.

4. The order does not disclose  
any reasons as to why the department has not  
found it expedient to give an appointment  
to any of the applicants. The order, therefore,  
is not sustainable. The General Manager shall

③

-: 2 :-

deal with the matter himself and pass a speaking order. He shall do so within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order from anyone of the applicants and forward a copy of the order to anyone of the applicants within a period of two weeks from the date of passing of the same. Applicant may transmit a certified copy of this order to the General Manager under Regd. Post AD.

5. With these directions the application is disposed of but without any order as to costs.