

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BOMBAY BENCH

5

O.A. NO: 473/92

199

~~EX. NO:~~

DATE OF DECISION 20.1.1993.

Shri P.H. Wadera

Petitioner

Mrs. Jyoti Dharmadhikari

Advocate for the Petitioners

Versus

Union of India & others.

Respondent

Shri Ramesh Darda,

Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM:

The Hon'ble Mr. M.Y. PRIOLKAR, MEMBER (A).

^ The Hon'ble Mr. V.D. DESHMUKH, MEMBER (J).

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement ?
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ?

mbm\*

( M.Y. PRIOLKAR )  
MEMBER(A).

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

BOMBAY BENCH  
CAMP AT NAGPUR

6

Original Application No. 473/92

Shri P.H. Wadera

... Applicant.

V/s.

Joint Agriculture & Marketing Advisor  
Directorate of Marketing & Inspection  
Branch Head Officer, New Secretariat  
Bldg., Nagpur.

Agricultural & Marketing Advisor to  
the Govt. of India  
Directorate of Marketing & Inspection  
H.Q. N.H.G. Faridabad (Haryana)

Union of India, Ministry of Rural  
Development through its Secretary  
Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi.

Shri D.C. Bhinga,  
UDC, Department of Ministry of  
Rural Development  
Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi

Shri J.P. Sharma, UDC  
Directorate of Marketing & Inspection  
H.O. at National High Way No.4  
Faridaba(Haryana).

Smt. Sunita Bhanoit,  
UDC  
Ministry of Rural Development,  
Krishi Bhavan,  
New Delhi.

... Respondents.

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri M.Y.Priolkar, Member(A)  
Hon'ble Shri V.D.Deshmukh, Member(J)

Appearance:

Mrs. Jyoti Dharmadhikari counsel  
for the applicant.

Shri R. Darda, counsel  
for the respondents.

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Dated: 20.1.93

¶ Per Shri M.Y.Priolkar, Member (A)¶


The grievance of the applicant who is working  
as U.D.C. under the Joint Agriculture & Marketing, <sup>Advise</sup> at Nagpur  
under the Ministry of Agriculture is that in the seniority  
list he ~~is~~ <sup>has</sup> been wrongly shown as junior to Respondent No.  
4, 5 and 6. The learned counsel for the applicant brought  
to our notice, the copy of the letter dated 24.5.85  
annexture 'B' , in which it has been stated that seniority


7

of Shri J.P. Sharma and Smt. Sunita Bhanait have<sup>2</sup> been wrongly fixed due to over sight and that the applicant will be shown as senior to them in the final seniority list "being issued shortly". The learned counsel however stated that this error due to over sight is still not correct<sup>ed</sup> and, besides, the respondent No.4 is also junior to the applicant.

Learned counsel for the respondents stated that the representation dated 27.9.91 submitted by the applicant on this question has been forwarded to the Ministry of Agriculture on 8.4.92 and is still pending for decision by the competent authority. We are, therefore of the view that this application <sup>can be</sup> finally disposed off by giving a direction to the respondents to dispose of the representation submitted by the applicant by<sup>a</sup> reasoned order. We accordingly direct the respondents that if the representation is still pending with them, <sup>it</sup> they may be disposed of with<sup>a</sup> reasoned order within four weeks from the date of receipt of<sup>a</sup> copy of this order. If the applicant is still aggrieved with the order, he will be at liberty to approach this Tribunal again, if so advise<sup>d</sup> in accordance with law.

There shall be no order as to costs.

  
(V.D.DESHMUKH)  
MEMBER(J)

  
(M.Y.PRIOLKAR)  
MEMBER(A)