

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BOMBAY BENCH, 'GULESTAN' BUILDING NO.6  
PRESCOT ROAD, BOMBAY 1

CAMP: NAGPUR

OA NO. 251/92

C P Salve

Applicant

V/s

Union of India through  
Director General  
Ordnance Factory, Calcutta & Ors.

Respondents

Coram: Hon. Shri Justice M S Deshpande, V.C.  
Hon. Shri M Y Priolkar, Member (A)

ORAL JUDGMENT:

DATED: 27.7.93

(PER: M S DESHPANDE, VICE CHAIRMAN)

Heard Mr. S H Iyer, counsel for the applicant  
and Mr. Ramesh Darda, counsel for the respondents.

It appears that the penalty was imposed and  
a finding that the applicant was held guilty only on  
the basis of the statement dated 27.8.90. It is apparent  
that there was no admission of charge.

The entire inquiry was directed  
towards the applicant and the finding of  
guilt was actually based on the fact that the applicant  
was implicated on suspicion and sought a transfer.

We therefore, quash the impugned order dated  
27.8.90. The respondents are, however, granted their  
costs.

In the inquiry on the basis of the statement dated  
27.8.90, the applicant was found guilty and the penalty  
was imposed in accordance with the provisions of the  
Ordnance Factories Act, 1963.

The applicant was not given an opportunity to  
be heard before the penalty was imposed. The finding of  
guilt was based on the statement dated 27.8.90.

The penalty was imposed on the applicant on the basis  
of the statement dated 27.8.90. The finding of guilt  
was based on the statement dated 27.8.90.

The penalty was imposed on the applicant on the basis  
of the statement dated 27.8.90. The finding of guilt  
was based on the statement dated 27.8.90.

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BOMBAY BENCH, 'GULESTAN' BUILDING NO.6  
PRESCOT ROAD, BOMBAY-1

R.P. NO. 113/93 in OA No. 251/92

Union of India & 2 ors.

..Applicants

V/s.

Shri C.P. Salve

..Respondent

Coram: Hon.Shri Justice M.S.Deshpande, V.C.

Hon.Shri M Y Priolkar, Member (A)

TRIBUNALS ORDER: (By circulation)  
(PER: M S Deshpande, Vice Chairman)

DATED: 26.11.93

This is the respondent's application for reviewing the order dated 27.7.93 which we had passed in OA no.251/92 after hearing the learned counsel.

We had considered the grounds raised at the time the order was passed and no new material has been placed before us by this Review Application. No new material has been referred which could not have been with due diligence been placed at that time. We see no error apparent on the face of record, which justify entertaining the review.

The review petition is in

(M.Y. Priolkar)  
Member (A)

(M.S. Deshpande)  
Vice Chairman