

C.P. No-11/94 for
for order on 21-1-94

As per
18/1

Per Tribunal

Date:

Applicant in person / by
Advocate / Respondent by
Council.

The matter adjourned to
for

Dy. Registrar

Per Tribunal

Date: 21-1-94

Applicant in person / by None
Advocate / Respondent by None

Council. Due to disturbance on a/c of
The matter adjourned to 28-1-94
for orders of

Dy. Registrar

Dated 28-1-94

Shri S.C. Halli for
the applicant, Shri S.C.
Dhawan for the respondent.

Adjourned to 3/3/94.

M.R. Kolhatkar
(M.R. Kolhatkar)

M/A.

Dated 3/3/94

Shri S.C. Halli for the
Contempt Petitioner.

Issue notice to the alleged
contemner returnable on 8-4-94.
Put on 8-4-94.

M.R. Kolhatkar
(Smt. Lakshmi Swaminathan) (M.R. Kolhatkar)
M/A.

Notice sent to
the applicant &
Respondent on 15/3/94
per 22/3

7
Date: 8.4.1994:

Applicant by Shri S C Halli. Shri S.C. Dhawan counsel for the respondents.

No reply has been filed, but Shri Dhawan states that the order dated 20th September 1993 has been passed by the General Manager in compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal. We find that the directions were to have a fresh look into the applicant's financial status and that of his family and then reach a definite conclusion whether the applicant deserves appointment on compassionate ground, by passing a reasoned order as directed by the Tribunal. The order passed by the GM does not give any reasons for the view taken.

Since the applicant has to approach the Tribunal afresh for the relief we direct the respondents to pay Rs.200 as costs to the applicant for the hardship caused to him.

A fresh reasoned order shall be passed within two months from the date of communication of this order.

C.P. No. 11/94 is disposed with the above directions.

Rey
814

M.R.Kolhatkar
(M.R.Kolhatkar)
Member(A)

M.S.Deshpande
(M.S.Deshpande)
Vice Chairman

order/Judgement despatched
to Applicant/Respondent (s)
on 8/4/94

21/4/94

Notice dtd 15-3-94
issued to Lantemner
No. 2 seed. back
inscribed under postal
remark & Retired

MD