

16

C.P. in OA Nos. 429/92; 435/92; 438/92;
440/92; 442/92; 443/92 & 445/92

Mr. Gangal for the applicants.

Mr. Masurkar counsel for the respondents.

27/9/93


This contempt petition has been filed by the applicants for non-implementation of our judgment dated 17.6.92.


According to Mr. Masurkar an S.L.P. has been filed before the Hon. Supreme Court against the judgment but no stay has been granted.

We, therefore, direct the respondents to ~~to~~ implement the judgment within two months from to day unless in the meanwhile they obtain a stay from the Hon. Supreme Court.

With these directions the CP in all the above OAs disposed off.

Copy of this order be given to the parties.


(Lakshmi Swaminathan)
M(J)


(M.Y. Priolkar)
M(A)

order/Judgement despatched
to Applicant/Respondent(s)
on 6/10/93

12/10/93

Appeal against C.A.7.
It dt 17/6/92, ~~set~~
R.A. set aside the
judgment & allow
the appeal, by the
Supreme Court
order dt 15/11/93.

Q
11/2/93 S/C Case kept
Deemed on next 28/12/93

21/28/1993
Order/Judgement despatched
to Applicant/Respondent (s)
on 3/2/93

15

m
4/14

C.P. No. 98/93
For order Fixed
on 16/8/93

Yell
26/7

Received C.P. No 98/93
in OA 438/92

Sswark
14/8

C.P. 102/93 in OA 429/92, C.P. 96/93 in OA 435/92, C.P. 98/93 in
OA 438/92, C.P. 94/93 in OA 440/92, C.P. 95/93 in OA 442/92
and C.P. 97/93 in OA 445/92.

Dated: 16.8.93

Shri D.V. Gangal, counsel for the applicant, Shri V.S.
Masurkar, counsel for the respondents.

Shri Masurkar accepts notice on behalf of the alleged
contemner. They may file the written reply within four
weeks with an advance copy to the counsel for the applicant,
who may file rejoinder within one week thereafter. List the
cases for orders on C.P. on 20.7.93.

L.S.
(Lakshmi Swaminathan)
Member (J)

M.Y. Priolkar
(M.Y. Priolkar)
Member (A)