

(7)

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BOMBAY BENCH

Original Application No: 1348/92

Transfer Application No: ---

DATE OF DECISION 23-8-1993

Bava Ram Solanki Petitioner

Mr. S.R. Atre Advocate for the Petitioners

Versus

Union of India & 8 Ors.

Respondent

Mr. J.G. Savant for official respondents and Mr. G.S. Bhargav Ram
for Respondent No.8 Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM:

The Hon'ble Shri Justice M.S. Deshpande, Vice-Chairman

The Hon'ble ~~Shri~~ Ms. Usha Savara, Member(A)

1. ~~Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement?~~ m
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3. ~~Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement?~~
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ? m


(M.S. DESHPANDE)

M

BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BOMBAY BENCH

(2)

O.A.1348/92

Bava Rama Solanki

.. Applicant

-versus-

Union of India and 8 Ors.

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.S.Deshpande,
Vice-Chairman.

Hon'ble Ms.Usha Savara, Member(A)

Appearances:

1. Mr.S.R.Atre
Advocate for
Applicant.
2. Mr.J.G.Savant
Advocate for
official respondents.
3. Mr.G.S.Bhargav Ram
Advocate for respondent
No.8.

ORAL JUDGMENT:

Date:23-8-1993

(Per M.S.Deshpande,Vice-Chairman)


It is apparent that the relief which the applicant has finally sought by this application has been granted because the applicant has got the seniority as well as promotion. The grievance of the applicant now is that respondent No.8 is occupying the post of Assistant Director of Education on purely ad-hoc basis and since the applicant's claim for seniority has been granted his claim for being considered for that post should have ^{been} entertained.

2. We do not think that ^{we} ~~it~~ would be justified by making a direction in this case because the appointment of respondent No.8 is on ad-hoc basis and purely temporary basis. We leave it open to the applicant to make a representation to the authorities regarding his claims to the appointment of Asstt.Director of Education. If such an application is made within a fortnight the authorities

9

will consider it within four months from the date of receipt of that representation.

3. With this direction the O.A. is disposed of. MP No.422/93 also stands disposed of. In view of this order MP No.623/93 filed by the respondent No.8 is disposed of as withdrawn.


(USHA SAVARA)
Member(A)


(M.S.DESHPANDE)
Vice-Chairman

M