

(2)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BOMBAY BENCH, 'GULESTAN' BUILDING NO.6
PRESCOT ROAD, BOMBAY 1
CAMP: NAGPUR

D.A. NO. 1222/92

T R Prakashan
r/o. Qtr.No.14/2;
Ordnance Factory
Estate; Bhandara 441906

..Applicant

V/s.

Union of India through
Secretary
Ministry of Defence;
South Block; New Delhi & 2 ors.

..Respondents

Coram: Hon.Shri Justice M S Deshpande, VC
Hon.Shri M Y Priolkar, Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Mr. S.H. Iyer
counsel
for applicant

Mr. Ramesh Darda
counsel
for respondents

ORAL JUDGMENT:

DATED: 19.7.93


(PER: M.S.Deshpande, Vice Chairman)

Heard.

The allegations are that the Governing Body of the Recreation Club has not passed any resolution ~~for~~ directing a departmental inquiry should be held against the applicant. There is no dispute ^{about} against the position that the applicant is working as an officer with the Ordnance Factory, Bhandara and the General Manager ^{is the} as appointing authority and disciplinary authority. It is the disciplinary authority who has to be satisfied about the reasons for an inquiry, ^{and they are -} ~~it is~~ clear in the present case.

The stage at which the applicant may approach is only after the inquiry is completed. No interference is called for at this stage. The application is dismissed.


(M Y Priolkar)
Member(A)


(M S Deshpande)
Vice Chairman