

18

dt 24.4.95
Order/Judgement despatched
to Applicant/Respondent (s)
~~24.4.95~~

C.P.No. 41/96
high honler
on 14.6.96

25/3

Dated 14.6.96 (12)

Done for the parties.
Issue notice to the respondents
to file reply to C.P.
Adjourned to 2.8.96.

MLN

MR. K. K. K.
(M.R. Kollatkar)
M(A)
(B.S. Hegde)
m(S)

ds

Notices issued to
Applicant/Respondents on
20/6/96
25/6/96

Per Tribunal Date: 25/6/96
Applicant in person by Mr. Talreja
Advocate / Respondent by Mr. Shastri
Council. By consent of the parties
The matter adjourned to 25/10/96
for order C.P. 41/96
Dy. Registrar

25/10/96 PT

Abbltand by Mr. Talreja
Revised by Mr. S.C. Shastri
By consent, adj. to 20/12/96
for order C.P. 41/96

CO

19

IN THE COURT OF THE JUDGE
AT THE DISTRICT COURT
AT THE DISTRICT COURT

Dated: 20.12.1996 (42)

Heard Shri K.B.Talreja, counsel for the applicant and Shri S.C.Dhawan, counsel for the respondents.

The applicant has filed C.P. 41/96 stating that the Tribunal has ~~directed~~ vide its order dt. 21.4.1995 directed the Respondents to absorb the applicant as Linesman in the skilled artisan category after being subjected to a process of screening which the respondents should arrange. Subject to screening the respondents should ~~arrange~~ absorb the applicant in the skilled artisan category as a Fitter/ Linesman etc. The applicant should also be given benefit of his seniority and should also be given consequential benefit of notional fixation of pay.

The respondents in their reply to the C.P. have stated that he has been granted seniority, consequential benefits, arrears of Rs. 444/- has been ~~paid~~ and seniority has been fixed in between Sl.No.14 and 15 of the seniority list of Linesman Gr.III. Hence the direction given by the Tribunal is duly complied with.

In the circumstances, nothing survives. Contempt Petition is accordingly discharged.

✓ B/0
CPD

M.R. Kolhatkar

(M.R.KOLHATKAR)
MEMBER(A)

B.S. Hegde

(B.S.HEGDE)
MEMBER(J).

B.

order/judgment despatched
to Respondent(s)
on 28/1/97
Yali
29/1