

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BANGALORE BENCH.  
\*\*\*\*\*

Commercial Complex(BDA)  
Indiranagar  
Bangalore - 560 038

Dated : 21 APR 1989

REVIEW APPLICATION NO (S) \_\_\_\_\_ 20 \_\_\_\_\_ / 89  
IN APPLICATION NO. 1008/88(F)  
W.P. NO (S) \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant (s)

Respondent (s)

The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Bangalore V/s Shri H.A. Krishna Murthy  
To

1. The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner  
'Bhavishyanidhi Bhavan'  
No. 8, Rajaram Mohan Roy Road  
Bangalore - 560 025
2. Shri M. Vasudeva Rao  
Central Govt. Sing Counsel  
High Court Building  
Bangalore - 560 001

Subject : SENDING COPIES OF ORDER PASSED BY THE BENCH

Please find enclosed herewith a copy of ORDER/SPM/INTERIM ORDER  
passed by this Tribunal in the above said application(s) on 17-4-89

*[Signature]*  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR  
(JUDICIAL)

Encl : As above

*[Handwritten mark]*

*[Handwritten signature]*  
21-4-89

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 17TH DAY OF APRIL, 1989

Present:

Hon'ble Shri Justice K.S. Puttaswamy ... Vice Chairman  
Hon'ble Shri P. Srinivasan ... Member(A)

REVIEW APPLICATION NO. 20/1989

The Regional Provident Fund  
Commissioner,  
8, Raja Rammohan Roy Road,  
BANGALORE-560025.

... Applicant

vs (Shri M. Vasudeva Rao, Advocate)

Shri H.A. Krishna Murthy,  
Chikkappaiah Garden,  
Nagasettyhalli,  
BANGALORE-24.

... Respondent

This application having come up for hearing  
today, Hon'ble Shri P. Srinivasan, Member(A), made the following:

ORDER

By this application, the respondents in application  
No. 1008/88 want us to review our order dated 17-8-1988 dis-  
posing of that application. Shri M. Vasudeva Rao, counsel  
appearing for the said respondents, has been heard.

2. As already mentioned, the order sought to be  
reviewed was passed on 17-8-1988 and despatched to the  
parties on 25-8-1988. The present application was filed  
on 31-3-1989. It should have been filed within 30 days from  
the date of the order i.e. on or before 16-9-1988. It is  
thus delayed by over six months. The said respondents  
have filed an application for condonation of delay. The  
ground stated in this application for the delay is that  
the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, who was the  
respondent in the original application had to consult his  
superior officers before filing the review application.



We find no merit in this ground for delay. The respondents very well knew that a review application had to be filed within 30 days from the date of order and should have arranged their official procedure so as to complete it by the expiry of that date. Merely because their administrative procedures take a long time, it cannot be said that they were justified in filing this review application as much as six months late.

3. While the application deserves to be rejected as barred by limitation, we have also looked into the merits, and have heard Shri Vasudeva Rao thereon. Our order which is sought to be reviewed is a detailed one and our conclusion has been supported by a long line of reasoning. It was also dictated in open court in the presence of all parties. We, therefore, find no merit in the application for review.

4. In the result, the application is dismissed in limine.



sd/-

VICE CHAIRMAN

17/17

sd/-

MEMBER(A)

17/4/87

TRUE COPY

*[Signature]*  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR (JDL)  
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BANGALORE