

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BANGALORE BENCH  
\*\*\*\*\*

Commercial Complex (BDA)  
Indiranagar  
Bangalore - 560 038

Dated '25 AUG 1988

APPLICATION NO. 696 to 700 / 88(F)

W.P. NO. \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant(s)

Respondent(s)

Shri M.S. Mathad  
To

V/s

The Supdt. of Post Offices, Haveri & another

1. Shri M.S. Mathad  
S/o Shri Shankarajiah Mathad  
Housing Colony  
Medleri Road  
Ranebennur (Dharwad District)
2. Shri M. Raghavendra Achar  
Advocate  
1074-1075, Banashankari I Stage  
Sreenivasanagar II Phase  
Bangalore - 560 050
3. The Superintendent of Post Offices  
Haveri Division  
Haveri - 581 110  
Dharwad District

4. The Director of Postal Services  
North Karnataka Region  
Dharwad - 580 001
5. Shri M.S. Padmarajaiah  
Central Govt. Stng Counsel  
High Court Building  
Bangalore - 560 001

Subject : SENDING COPIES OF ORDER PASSED BY THE BENCH

Please find enclosed herewith the copy of ORDER/~~NOTICE~~ ~~INTERIM ORDER~~  
passed by this Tribunal in the above said application(s) on 19-8-88.

Encl : As above

*K. M. Mathad*  
26-8-88  
*R. V. Venkatesh*  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR  
(JUDICIAL)

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BANGALORE BENCH: BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 19TH DAY OF AUGUST 1988

Present: Hon'ble Shri Justice K.S. Puttaswamy ... Vice Chairman  
Hon'ble Shri P. Srinivasan ... Member(A)

APPLICATION NOS.696 TO 700/88

Sri M.S.Mathad,  
Ex.D.P.M.,  
Haveri Head Post Office,  
HAVERI.

... Applicant

(Shri M.R.Achar, Advocate)

vs.

1. The Superintendent of Post Offices,  
Haveri Division,  
HAVERI.

2. The Director of Postal Services,  
DHARWAD.

... Respondents

(Shri M.S.Padmarajaiah, Advocate)

These applications having come up for hearing  
before this Tribunal, Hon'ble Shri P. Srinivasan,  
Member (A), made the following:

ORDER

In these applications, the applicant  
challenges five orders imposing various penalties  
on him for different charges levelled against him.  
All these orders imposing penalty were passed between  
24-12-1986 and 9-7-1987. By the first order which  
is dated 24-12-1986, increment due to the applicant  
was withheld for a period of one month without  
affecting the future increments from the date on which  
it fell due. By the second order dated 9-7-1987, one  
increment was withheld for two months. By the third

T. S. 89



order dated 15-4-1987, the applicant was censured. In two more orders dated 12-3-87 and 24-3-1987, the applicant was censured.

2. Shri M.R.Achar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri M.S.Padmarajaiah, learned counsel for the respondents, appeared and they have been heard.

3. It may, here, be mentioned that by an order dated 20-10-1987, the applicant was retired from service under F.R.56(j). The applicant challenged this order of compulsory retirement in application No.86 of 1988. We have, by a separate order of even date, dismissed that application. Since the applicant thus stands retired with effect from 20-10-1987, the present challenges against the penalties imposed on him, are only of mere academic interest. Therefore, it would serve no practical purpose to examine the present applications in detail and to give a finding thereon: on the other hand, it would only be a waste of time and energy.

4. In view of the above, all the applications are dismissed. Parties to bear their own costs.



Sd/-  
(K.S.PUTTASWAMY)  
VICE CHAIRMAN

13/8/88

TRUE COPY

Sd/-  
(P.SRINIVASAN)  
MEMBER(A)

*[Signature]*  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR (JDL)  
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BANGALORE