

REGISTERED

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE BENCH

Commercial Complex (BDA)
Indiranagar
Bangalore - 560 038

Dated : 19 SEP 1988

APPLICATION NO. 1207 / 88(F)

W.P. NO. _____

Applicant(s)

Shri M. Mani
To

Respondent(s)

V/s The Administrative Officer, ISRO Satellite
Centre, Bangalore & 12 Crs

1. Shri M. Mani
Stenographer
Personnel & General Administration
ISRO Satellite Centre
Airport Road
Bangalore - 560 017
2. Shri U. Panduranga Naik
Advocate
No. 7 (Upstairs)
4th Cross, Srirampuram
Bangalore - 560 021

Subject : SENDING COPIES OF ORDER PASSED BY THE BENCH

Please find enclosed herewith the copy of ORDER/~~STAY~~/INTERIM ORDER
passed by this Tribunal in the above said application(s) on 7-9-88.

Encl : As above

[Signature]
DEPUTY REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)

**In the Central Administrative
Tribunal Bangalore Bench,
Bangalore**

ORDER SHEET

Application No. 1207 of 1988(F)

Applicant

Shri M. Mani

V/s

Respondent

The Administrative Officer, ISRD
Satellite Centre, Bangalore & 12 Ors

Advocate for Applicant

U. Panduranga Naik

Advocate for Respondent

Date	Office Notes	Orders of Tribunal
------	--------------	--------------------



TRUE COPY

[Signature]
DEPUTY REGISTRAR (JDL) 7/9/88
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE

KSPVC/LHAR (7-9-1988)

ORDERS ON I.A. No. 1

In filing this application there is a delay of 599 days. In I.A. 1 filed today the applicant has sought for condonation of said delay.

Sri V.P. Naik, learned counsel for the applicant, passionately pleaded that the facts and circumstances stated in I.A. 1 constitutes a sufficient ground for condoning the delay and the same be done and decided on merits.

We have carefully read the application. The only merit of I.A. 1 is its extreme vagueness. In I.A. 1, the applicant has not explained every days delay after the period of limitation as required by law and has not set out any valid circumstance ~~as~~ required to hold that there is a sufficient cause. In this view I.A. 1 is liable to be rejected. ~~After~~ I.A. 1 is rejected the main application is also liable to be rejected. We, therefore, reject I.A. 1 as well as the main application without notice to the respondents.

[Signature]
(K.S. Puttaswamy)
Vice Chairman

[Signature]
(L.H.A. Rego)
Member (A)