

REGISTERED

• CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE BENCH
.....

Commercial Complex(BDA),
Indiranagar,
Bangalore- 560 038.

Dated: 2-11-87

APPLICATION NO 813 /87(A)

W.P.No. _____

APPLICANT

Vs

RESPONDENTS

Shri Manjunath
To

The Divisional Personnel Office,
Southern Railway, Mysore.

1. Shri Manjunath
Work Meistry
Southern Railways
Mysore Division
Mysore.

3. The Divisional Personnel
Officer

Southern Railways
Mysore Division
Mysore.

2. Shri Madhusudan
Advocate
1074-1075, Banashankari
I Stage
Bangalore - 560050.

4. Shri K.V. Lakshmanachar
Railway Advocate
No. 4, 5th Block
Brand Square Police Pn
Mysore Road
Bangalore - 560002

on motion
6.11.87

Subject: SENDING COPIES OF ORDER PASSED BY THE BENCH

Please find enclosed herewith the copy of ORDER/~~STAY~~/

~~INTERIM ORDER~~ passed by this Tribunal in the above said application
on 29-10-87.

RECEIVED 4 copies 3/11/87

Diary No. 1386/CR/87

Issued Date: 4/11/87

Encl: as above.

Dr. V. S. S. S. S.
Deputy Registrar
(JUDICIAL)

o/c

BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE BENCH BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 29TH OCTOBER, 1987

Present: Hon'ble Shri P. Srinivasan, Member (A)
Hon'ble Shri Ch. Ramakrishna Rao, Member (J)

APPLICATION NO. 813/87(F)

Manjunath S/o Sri M Subbaraja,
aged about 56 years,
Work Meistry, Southern Railways,
Mysore Division, Mysore.

Applicant

(Shri Madhusudan.....Advocate)

Divisional Personnel Officer,
Southern Railways,
Mysore Division,
MYSORE.

Respondent

(Shri K.V. Lakshman Achar....Advocate)

This application has come up for hearing
before this Tribunal to-day, Hon'ble Member (A)
made the following

O R D E R

This application was heard at some length
on 20-10-1987 and we even proceeded to dictate
the order. At that time Shri M.R. Achar appeared
before us and wished to be heard. Earlier Shri
Madhusudan had argued the matter. Shri Achar
further sought a short adjournment to be able
to argue. In view of this we adjourned the
case to 30.10.1987.

2. Today Shri Madhusudan appeared for Shri
M.R. Achar and informed us that he has filed a
memo seeking permission to withdraw the application.



P. Srinivasan

....2/-

/ 2 /

3. Shri K.V. Lakshman Achar, learned counsel for the respondents, is also present.

4. In view of the above, the application is dismissed as withdrawn.

5. Parties to bear their own costs.

Sd/-
MEMBER (A) 29/10

Sd/-
MEMBER (J) 29.10.01

sb.

- True Copy -



R. V. Venkatesh
DEPUTY REGISTRAR
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL 2/11
ADDITIONAL BENCH
BANGALORE