

REGISTERED

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE BENCH

Commercial Complex(BDA),
Indiranagar,
Bangalore- 560 038.

Dated: 17-11-87

APPLICATION NO 642 / 87 (A)

W.P.No. _____

APPLICANT

Shri M.N. Savenur.

To

Vs

RESPONDENTS

The Sr. Divn. Mechanical Engineer(Loco),
South Central Railway, Hubli & another

1. Shri M.N. Savenur
C/o Shri Chandrakant Goulay
Advocate
90/1, 2nd Block
Thyagarajanagar
Bangalore - 560 028
2. Shri Chandrakant Goulay
Advocate
90/1, 2nd Block
Thyagarajanagar
Bangalore - 560 028
3. The Senior Divisional Mechanical Engineer(Loco)
South Central Railway
Hubli
Dharwad District
4. The Divisional Railway Manager
South Central Railway
Hubli
Dharwad District
5. Shri K.V. Lakshmanachar
Railway Advocate
No. 4, 5th Block
Briand Square Police Qrs
Mysore Road, Bangalore - 560 002

Subject: SENDING COPIES OF ORDER PASSED BY THE BENCH

Please find enclosed herewith the copy of ORDER/~~STAY~~/

~~INTERIM ORDER~~ passed by this Tribunal in the above said application
on 13-11-87.

RECEIVED

Diary No. 1445/CR/87

Date: 17.11.87

Encl: as above.

DEPUTY REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL : BANGALORE.

Present: Hon'ble Shri P. Srinivasan, Member(A)
and

Hon'ble Shri Ch. Ramakrishna Rao, Member(J).

DATED THIS THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 1987.

APPLICATION NO. 642 of 1987

M.N. Savanur,
Clerk,
Office of Carriage Wagon Supervisor,
Castlerock,
Dharwar.

.....Applicant.

(Shri Chandrakant Goulay, Advocate)

vs.

1. Senior Divl. Mechanical Engineer (Loco),
S.C.R.,
Hubli,
Dharwar Dist.

2. Divl. Rly. Manager,
S.C.R.,
Hubli,
Dharwar Dist.

.....Respondents.

(Shri K.V. Laxmanachar, Standing Counsel)

This application having come up for admission,
Hon'ble Member(J) made the following:

O R D E R

This application has been listed for today
under 'Cases not ready for hearing', having been admitted
on 24.7.1987. The applicant is aggrieved with an order
dated 4.1.1987 by which he was removed from service.



Conf

2. There are two prayers in the application, firstly that the order removing him from service be quashed, and secondly, that respondent No.2, the appellate authority ('AA'), be directed to dispose of the appeal filed by the applicant. Annexure-D to the application is a copy of the appeal filed by the applicant to the Additional Divisional Railway Manager, the AA, which has been duly forwarded on 29.1.1987. It is already over 9 months since the appeal was filed.

3. Shri Chandrakant Goulay, applicant's counsel, urges that this Tribunal should direct the AA to dispose of the appeal, which has been pending for a long time.

4. Shri K.V. Laxmanachar, appearing for the respondents, has no objection to such a direction being issued by this Tribunal.


5. We, therefore, direct the AA, viz., the Addl. Divisional Railway Manager, Hubli, to dispose of the applicant's appeal, within 3 months from the date of receipt of this order, if not ~~xx~~ already disposed ~~it~~ of.

6. In the result, the application is allowed in part.

-True Copy- Parties to bear their own costs.

Sdl-
MEMBER (A)

Sdl-
MEMBER (J) 10/1/11


DEPUTY REGISTRAR (dms.)
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
ADDITIONAL BENCH
BANGALORE