

REGISTERED

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BANGALORE BENCH  
@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@

Commercial Complex(BDA),  
Indiranagar,  
Bangalore - 560 038

Dated : 12/8/87

REVIEW APPLICATION NO 97 / 87( )  
IN APPLICATION NO. 323/87(F)

W.P. NO                     

Applicant

Shri Bheemana Gouda

V/s

The Youth Coordinator, Nehru Yuva Kendra,  
Gulbarga & another

To

1. Shri Bheemana Gouda  
Kakkeri Chal, Main Road  
Opposite Municipal Garden  
Gulbarga
2. Shri R.A. Shiraguppi  
Advocate  
47/15, Sidramana Dinne, 51st Cross  
IV Block, Rajajinagar  
Bangalore - 560010

Subject: SENDING COPIES OF ORDER PASSED BY THE BENCH

Please find enclosed herewith the copy of ORDER/~~STAY~~/  
~~INTERIM ORDER~~ passed by this Tribunal in the above said Review  
application on 5-8-87.

Encl : as above

*B. Venkatesh Reddy*  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR  
~~SECTION OFFICER~~  
(JUDICIAL)

BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 5th DAY OF AUGUST, 1987

Present : Hon'ble Justice Sri K.S. Puttaswamy Vice-Chairman

Hon'ble Sri L.H.A. Rege Member (A)

REVIEW APPLICATION No. 97/87

Bhoomangeuda,  
Kakkeri chah,  
Main Road, Opposite  
Municipal Garden,  
Gulbarga.

... Applicant

( Sri R.A. Shiraguppi

... Advocate )

Vs.

1. The Youth Co-ordinator,  
Nehru Yuva Kendra,  
Chandrashekar Patil Stadium,  
Gulbarga.

2. B.A. Ashokkumar,  
District Youth Service  
and Sports Officer,  
Gulbarga.

... Respondents

This Review application has come up before the  
Tribunal today. Hon'ble Justice Sri K.S. Puttaswamy, Vice-  
Chairman made the following :

O R D E R

In this application made under Section 22(3)(f) of  
the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 ('the Act') the applicant  
has sought for a review of our order made on 1.7.1987 in  
Application No. 323 of 1987.

2. In Application No. 323 of 1987 the applicant had  
challenged his termination made by respondent-2. On a detailed  
examination we have held that 'Nehru Yuva Kendra' in which  
organisation the applicant was working, was a society over which  
the Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred by



Section 14(2) of the Act had not conferred jurisdiction on this Tribunal and therefore, we had no jurisdiction to adjudicate the said application. In reality and in substance, Sri R.A.Siraguppi, learned counsel for the applicant asks us to examine our order as if we are a Court of appeal and come to a different conclusion, which is impermissible in a review.

3. In the light of our above discussion, we hold that this application is liable to be rejected. We, therefore, reject this application at the admission stage.



sd ---  
VICE-CHAIRMAN

sd ---  
MEMBER (A)

10-8-87

an.

- True copy -

*B. V. Venkatesh Reddy*  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR  
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
ADDITIONAL BENCH  
BANGALORE

12/8