

REGISTERED

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE BENCH
* * * * *

Commercial Complex(BDA)
Indiranagar
Bangalore - 560 038

Dated : 11 APR 1988

Misc	APPLICATION NO	2	88
In Review	Application No. 4/88 (O.A. No. 1885/86(T))		
	W.P. NO.		

Applicant

Shri K. Vaidyanathan

To

Respondent v/s

The Secy, M/o Communications, New Delhi
& 2 Ores

1. Shri K. Vaidyanathan
Q-166, R.B.I. Quarters
Besant Nagar
Madras - 600 090

Subject : SENDING COPIES OF ORDER PASSED BY THE BENCH

Please find enclosed herewith the copy of ORDER/~~STAY~~/INTERIM ORDER
passed by this Tribunal in the above said Application on 6-4-88

DEPUTY REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)

Encl : As above

**In the Central Administrative
Tribunal Bangalore Bench,
Bangalore**

ORDER SHEET

1182

Application No. *2* of 198*8*

Applicant

K. Vaidyanathan

Advocate for Applicant

Present in Person

Respondent

*Secretary, H.O. Communications
& co.*

Advocate for Respondent

Date	Office Notes	Orders of Tribunal
		<p>(KSP)VC/(PS)M(A)</p> <p>APRIL 6, 1988. <i>Order</i></p> <p>Case called. Applicant is absent.</p> <p>In his letter dated 31-3-1988 addressed to the Registrar of this Tribunal, the applicant has stated that he does not require a notice of hearing and an opportunity of oral hearing. In this view, we have examined the papers.</p> <p>In his application the applicant is seeking a review of an order made in a Review Application. Without any doubt, a second review application is not maintainable under the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 the Rules made thereunder and the Code of Civil Procedure. On this short ground itself, this application is liable to be rejected. We, therefore, reject this application without notice to the respondents.</p>



TRUE COPY

[Signature]
DEPUTY REGISTRAR (JDL)
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE

sd/-
VICE-CHAIRMAN. *11/4/88*

sd/-
MEMBER (A)