

REGISTERED

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE BENCH
.....

Commercial Complex(BDA),
Indiranagar,
Bangalore- 560 038.

Dated: **8 MAR 1988**

Contempt of Court APPLICATION NO 26
in Application No. 1004/86(T) /87 ()
W.P.No. _____

APPLICANT

Shri H. Srikantaiah

To

Vs

RESPONDENTS


The Secy, M/o Education & Culture,
New Delhi

1. Shri H. Srikantaiah
No. 17-I, 'N' Block
Rajajinagar
Bangalore - 560 010
2. The Secretary
Ministry of Education & Culture
Department of Education
New Delhi
3. Shri M.S. Padmarajaiah
Central Govt. Stng Counsel
High Court Building
Bangalore - 560 001

Subject: SENDING COPIES OF ORDER PASSED BY THE BENCH

Please find enclosed herewith the copy of ORDER/~~XXXX~~/
~~XXXXXX~~ ORDER passed by this Tribunal in the above said Contempt of Court application
on 15-2-88.

Encl: as above.


Jr Deputy REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)

BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE BENCH, BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY 1988

Present : Hon'ble Shri P. Srinivasan ... Member (A)

Hon'ble Shri Ch. Ramakrishna Rao ... Member (J)

CONTEMPT OF COURT APPLICATION 26/87

H. Srikantaiah,
No.10-I, 'N' Block,
Rajajinagar, Bangalore-560 010.

... Petitioner

v.

Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Ministry of Education & Culture,
Department of Education,
New Delhi

... Accused

(Shri M.S. Padmarajaiah . Advocate)

This Contempt of Court application came up before this Tribunal today for hearing. Hon'ble Shri P. Srinivasan, Member (A) made the following:

ORDER

By this petition, the petitioner alleges that the Respondents in A No.1004/86(T) have committed contempt of this Tribunal by not carrying out the order dated 31.10.1986 passed in the said application.

This Tribunal, in that order directed as follows:

"From the above, it is apparent that the representation made by the applicant has been pending for a long time, nearly for five years, and in view of this, we direct the respondents to dispose of the pending reference of the applicant expeditiously and in any case not beyond three months from the date of receipt of this order".

The petitioner's contention is that this direction has not so far been complied with by the Respondents. Shri M.S. Padmarajaiah, learned counsel appearing for the accused-respondents, submits that the applicant's representation dated 28.5.1981 (wrongly stated as 18.5.1981 in our order dated 31.10.1986) has been disposed of by the President and the decision has been communicated to the

P. Srinivasan



applicant by letter dated 12.1.1988 addressed by the Education Officer, Ministry of Human Resources Development, to the applicant. The applicant confirms that he has received the said letter of 12.1.1988 but submits that the points raised in the representation have not been properly dealt with in the said reply.

2. In so far as the applicant's representation has been disposed of by the Respondents we will have to hold that our order dated 31.10.1985 has been complied with and there is no case for contempt. If the applicant is not satisfied with the said reply he is free to agitate his grievance in a fresh application if he so deems fit. But that cannot be a reason for initiating contempt of court proceedings against the respondents.

3. In the result, Contempt of Court proceedings are hereby dropped. Parties to bear their own costs.



Sd/-

MEMBER (A)

Sd/-

MEMBER (J)

10/4/88

TRUE COPY

bsv

for DEPUTY REGISTRAR (JUL)
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE
AP- 8/3/88