

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BANGALORE BENCH

Registered ~~ADP~~

Commercial Complex(BDA),  
Indiranagar,  
Bangalore - 560 038

Application No. 1699/86(F)

Dated the oct., 86.

24 OCT 1986

To

T. Raghunatha Shenai,  
Sub postmaster (L.S.G.)  
Sagar Bazar P.O.  
Sagar (Shimoga) 577401  
Karnataka

Applicant.  
in person

Versus

Subject: SENDING COPIES OF ORDER PASSED BY THE BENCH  
IN APPLICATION NO. 1699/86(F)

\*\*\*\*\*

Please find enclosed herewith the copy of the Order/~~Interim Order~~  
passed by this Tribunal in the above said Application on 30.9.86.

Intd.  
P. V. S. Negarwale  
O/c

*R. Ramamorthy*  
(N. RAMAMORTHY)  
SECTION OFFICER  
(Judicial)

Encl: As above.

Director General of posts,  
Department of posts,  
Ministry of Communications,  
Sanchar Bhavan, 20,  
Ashoka Road, New Delhi - 110001.

Respondent.

Issued  
34/10

Date

Office Notes

Orders of Tribunal

KSB(OC)/FS(R)

Case called. Applicant who has been duly served with notice is not present. We find that by a letter dated 13.9.1986 the applicant has expressed his inability to <sup>be</sup> present or to appoint a legal practitioner to attend before the Tribunal. We have perused the records and considered the case for admission ~~or otherwise~~ on merits.

The applicant's complaint is that though he was appointed as a Clerk on the same day as four others who are placed senior to him he was not promoted to the higher grade with the four others. He does not state whether any of his juniors are promoted over his head or whether there were adequate vacancies in which a fifth candidate <sup>could have been</sup> ~~can be~~ promoted and accommodated. On the <sup>true</sup> ~~basis~~ of it, it is clear that the four persons promoted to the higher grade before him were all senior to him and, therefore, their promotion before the applicant was promoted did not in any way affect his interest. As we have already said there is no indication that any of his juniors were promoted, superseding <sup>him</sup>. In the circumstances we are not satisfied that this application is a fit case for adjudication. We, therefore, reject the application summarily.



True copy

*R. Thyagaraj* 24/9/86  
SECTION OFFICER  
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
ADDITIONAL BENCH  
BANGALORE

*AS. Prasad* 30.9.86  
Vice-Chairman  
30.9.86  
*P. S. Rao* 30.9.86  
Member (A)  
30.9.86