

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH

CP No.100/2002 in
OA No.573/2001

29th Nov, 2002

Shri G.S.Walia, learned counsel for petitioner. Shri
S.C.Dhawan, learned counsel for respondents.

1. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the
respondents have done nothing by way of implementing the
Tribunal's order dated 31/5/2002 in OA No.573/2001. Hence this
CP.

3. Noting ^{the} above facts and submissions made by learned
counsel for petitioner, issue notice to alleged contemners,
Respondent nos.2 and 3 as to why action should not be taken
against them for disobedience of Tribunal's order, under the
provisions of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Section 17
of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 returnable in three
weeks. Personal appearance for the present ^{is} exempted.

4. List the CP-100/2002 for orders on 3/1/2003.

Shanta S-

(SMT.SHANTA SHASTRY)
MEMBER(A)

(SMT.LAKSHMI SWAMINATHAN)
VICE CHAIRMAN(J)

abp

3.1.2003

*Learned Counsel Shri S.C.Dhawan for
the Respondents brings to our notice through
a copy of letter of Shri Suresh Kumar,
advocate that upon a writ filed in the
High Court on the Order of the O.A.,
the writ was admitted and stay is
granted. Accordingly the Contempt Petition
is consigned to the sine die list. ~~It~~
~~enable~~ ^{enable} further ~~side~~ of the parties to the
O.A. ~~to~~ ^{may} bring to our notice ^{if there is} any further
development in the writ in the High Court.*

rep
(S.L.Jain)
MCT)

12/12
(B.N.Bahadur)
M(A)

*Shanta S (Notice)
11/12/02*