

Dated: 29.11.2001

Applicant by Shri R.S.Sawant.

Heard on admission.

The applicant has not preferred an appeal, while appeal does lie against the order of punishment. The grievance of the applicant is that he was not aware about the Appellate Authority and the respondents failed to inform the applicant inspite of reminders. Ultimately, when the period of appeal expired, the respondents informed the applicant about the Appellate Authority.

This being the fact, the applicant had not so far preferred an appeal to the Appellate Authority. The applicant is free to agitate the said punishment order before the Appellate Authority. The Appellate Authority to consider the appeal so preferred by the applicant in accordance with law. The OA stands disposed of accordingly.

*P.S.M.*  
(S.L.Jain)  
Member (J)

nse

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 19 OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE  
TRIBUNAL ACT, 1985

DY 8147/2001  
O.A.No. 847/2001

SHRI D.J. KACHAWA

.. APPLICANT

R/at S.No.53/2 Dyaneshwar Park

Tatya Ranade Rd, Mundhawa, Pune 36

V/s

THE COMMANDANT

512 Army Base WKSP

Kirkee, Pune 411 003

.. RESPONDENT

I N D E X

Sr.No.	description of document relied upon	Page No.
1.	Original application	1 to 9
2.	Charge sheet of 20.9.98	10 to 13
3.	Orders of Appt.of P.O.	14, 15
4.	Orders of Appt.of I.O.	16 to 18
5.	Order no. 21231/LC/6180 dtd. 27.11.99 received on 23.12.99	19 to 21
6.	Application made by the applicant reporting threatening behaviour of Col.Adm.	22, 23

  
Advocate for applicant

  
applicant

date :

