

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BENCH AT MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 494 of 1996  
/199

Date of Decision: 5.9.96

N N Sardesai & 16 ors.

Petitioner/s

Mr.V.G. Rege

Advocate for the  
Petitioner/s

V/s.

U.O.I. & Ors.

Respondent/s

Mr.B.Ranganathan for  
Mr. J P Deodhar

Advocate for the  
Respondent/s

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri M.R. Kolhatkar, Member(A)

Hon'ble Shri

- (1) To be referred to the Reporter or not ? ☒
- (2) Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ? ☒

M.R. Kolhatkar  
M(A)

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
BOMBAY BENCH, 'GULESTAN' BUILDING NO.6  
PRESCOT ROAD, MUMBAI-1

O.A. No. 494/96

DATED: THIS 5TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1996

Coram : Hon. Shri M.R. Kolhatkar, Member(A)

1. N N Sardesai
2. R K Thite
3. J P Jadhav
4. S V Deshpande
5. A K Nadar
6. D A Ambekar
7. P P Kokane
8. S L Deshpande
9. S N Bibikar
10. ~~M V Gorhe~~
11. S D Chandramore
12. V J Joshi
13. V S Shahane
14. K G Purkar
15. A S Belgaonkar
16. P K Belgaonkar
17. B A Joseph

presenting residing at

Nashik Road, Nashik

C/o. Shri V.G. Rege  
Advocate, High Court,  
Bombay, Wadia Building,  
2nd floor; 221 DSA Prachi Rd.,  
Fort, Mumbai 400001

(By Adv. Mr. V.G.Rege)

..Applicants

V/s.

1. Union of India through

the Secretary to Govt. of India  
Finance Department,  
New Delhi Dept. of Economic Affairs  
North Block  
New Delhi

2. The General Manager  
India Security Press  
Nashik Road, Nashik

3. The General Manager  
Currency Note Press  
Nashik Road, Nashik

4. Shri S B Panse  
Presiding Officer  
Central Govt. Labour  
Court No.2, 4th floor;  
City Ice Bldg., 298  
P. Nariman Street, Fort  
Bombay 400001.

(By Adv. Mr. B. Ranganathan  
for Mr. J P Deodhar, Counsel  
for Respondents Nos. 1 to 3)

..Respondents

ORDER

(Per: M R Kolhatkar, M(A))

Heard Mr. V.G. Rege, Counsel for the  
Applicant and Mr. B. Ranganathan, for Mr. J P Deodhar,  
Counsel for Respondents Nos. 1 to 3. Since the matter  
was last heard on 27.7.1996, this Tribunal has held in  
and  
a series of orders passed on 26.8.96/thereafter that  
in terms of the binding ratio of the Hon'ble Supreme

.3.

Court's decision

in KRISHAN PRASAD GUPTA Vs. CONTROLLER PRINTING & STATIONERY, J.T. 1995(7) S.C. 522, this Tribunal cannot entertain the OAs against the orders/awards of Industrial Tribunals / Labour Courts.

2. This O.A. is therefore dismissed for want of jurisdiction since the matter is no longer res-integra in so far as this Tribunal is concerned. I make it clear that the dismissal is not on merits. The Applicants are at liberty to approach an appropriate forum for redressal of their grievance, if they are so advised. The Miscellaneous Petition No. 529/96 also stands disposed of along with O.A.

*M.R. Kolhatkar*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(M.R. Kolhatkar)  
Member (A)

trk