

Per Tribunal

Fixed for AH

on 11.1.96 1.2.96

MS

S-1

for Dy Registrar

D. to reply on 1.2.96

11/1/96

Dated: 1.2.1996 Sl.No. 11

Heard the applicant in person. He has filed this OA. for a direction to the respondents to step up the pay of the applicant with that of his junior. The applicant has made a representation dated 8.8.1994 to the Chairman, Telecom Commission, Deptt. of Telecommunication, New Delhi, which has not yet been disposed of. We direct the respondents to dispose of the representation of the applicant within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The OA. stands disposed of.

(B.S. HEGDE)
M. (J)

Per Tribunal

Date: 21/6/96

Applicant in person by me

Advocate / Respondent by me

Consid. for wait & try

The matter adjourned to 19/8/96

for order on 53/96

Dy. Registrar

Order/Judgement (despatched to Applicant/Respondent (s) on 6/2/96)

18/2/96

C.P NO 53/96
Fixed for order
on 21.6.96

MS
S-1

Reply of Respondent
received on 21/2/97

18

For Tribunal

Date

31/3/97

Applicant's Person/By

Adv. of Respondent by Mr. Kulkarni

Counsel For Ward of for

The order returned to 13/6/97

For Subs. as Cl

Dy. Registrar

Dated: 13.6.97 (43)

Applicant in person. Sh. P.M. Pradhan, counsel for the respondents.

Applicant has filed C.P. 53/96 in O.A. 17/96, The O.A. was disposed of on 1.12.96, directing the respondents to dispose of the representation of the applicant within a period of three months.

During the course of hearing, the learned counsel for the respondents submit that the pending representation of the applicant has been disposed of on 22.4.96. Copy of which has been annexed to the reply to C.P. In the circumstances C.P. does not survive. Accordingly C.P. is discharged.

3/11/97

M.R. Kolhatkar
(M.R. Kolhatkar)
MIA)

B.S. Hegde
(B.S. Hegde)
7/5)

dttd. 13/6/97
order/Judgement despatched
to Applicant/Respondent (s)
on 20/6/97

24/6/97

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BOMBAY BENCH

Review Petition No. 72/97 in
Original Application No. 17/96

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B.S. Hegde, Member (J)

Hon'ble Shri M.R. Kolhatkar, Member (A)

S.Srinivasa Murthy

... Applicant.

V/s.

Union of India and others.

... Respondents.

Tribunal's order on Review Petition by Circulation

{ Per Shri B.S. Hegde, Member (J) }

Dated: 22.8.97

The applicant has filed the Review Petition against the order of the Tribunal dated 1.2.96. After the disposal of the O.A. the applicant has filed C.P. 53/96 which was disposed of on 13.6.97.

Under Rule 17 of the CAT Procedure Rules, the Review Petition can be entertained if it is filed within 30 days from the date of receipt of the order sought to be reviewed. In the instant case the O.A. was disposed of on 1.2.96 and the Review Petition was filed on 25.7.97 after a lapse of more than one year. It is stated that the Review application has sent by post which was received on 25.7.97.

Firstly, the review application is barred by time. Secondly the applicant has not made out any case for review in terms of order 47 Rule 1 of CPC.

Ba

...2...

: 2 :

In the result, we are of the view, that
there is no merit in the Review Petition. Accordingly
the Review Petition is dismissed by circulation.

M.R. Kolhatkar
(M.R. Kolhatkar)
Member (A)

B.S. Hegde
(B.S. Hegde)
Member (J)

NS

Order/Judgment despatched
to Applicant/Respondent (s)
on 22/8/97
29/8/97
29/97