

## IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

~~NEW DELHI~~  
NEW BOMBAY BENCH

O.A. No. 200 /89 198  
~~XXXXXX~~

DATE OF DECISION 8.6.1989

Shri K.V.Pandit Petitioner

Shri D.V.Gangal Advocate for the Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and another Respondents

Shri J.G.Sawant Advocate for the Respondent(s)

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mr. M.B.Mujumdar, Member (J)

The Hon'ble Mr. P.S.Chaudhuri, Member (A)

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement? *Yes*
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? *No*
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement? *No*
4. Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal? *No*

(m)  
BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
NEW BOMBAY BENCH, NEW BOMBAY 400 614

OA.NO. 200/89

Shri K.V.Pandit,  
Gangman working under P.W.I.(Ghat)  
Igatpuri, Central Railway, Nashik.

.. Applicant

v/s.

1. Union of India  
through the General Manager,  
Central Railway, Bombay V.T.
2. The Divisional Railway Manager,  
Central Railway, Bombay V.T.

.. Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Member (J) Shri M.B.Mujumdar  
Hon'ble Member (A) Shri P.S.Chaudhuri

Appearance :

Mr.D.V.Gangal  
Advocate  
For the applicant

Mr. J.G.Sawant  
Advocate  
for the Respondents

ORAL JUDGMENT


Dated: 8.6.1989

(PER: M.B.Mujumdar, Member (J))

Heard Mr. D.V.Gangal for the applicant and Mr. J.G.  
Sawant for the respondents.

2. Mr. Sawant makes a statement after taking instructions from the officer who is present in the court that the applicant is appointed as Khalasi under Inspector of Works at Igatpuri. Mr. Gangal states that the applicant is satisfied with this order and hence the application be disposed of.

3. The application is accordingly disposed of with no orders as to costs.

  
(P.S.CHAUDHURI)  
MEMBER (A)

  
(M.B.MUJUMDAR)  
MEMBER (J)