

(14)

Date: 10.8.90

Heard both sides.

Judgment delivered
in the open Court.

Sahy
(I. K. RASOTRA) (S. SREEDHARANNAIR)
M (A) V.C.

Judgment dt
10/8/90 deposited
on 10/9/90
12/9/90

Judgment dtd 10-8-90
Served on Respondent
no. 1 & 2 dtd 17/9/90

(15)

**IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
NEW BOMBAY BENCH
CIRCUIT SITTING AT NAGPUR**

O.A. No. 367/86
T.A. No. ~~167/87~~

1990

DATE OF DECISION 10-8-1990

Devendra Nath & Ors.

Petitioner

Mr. Mohan Sudame

Advocate for the Petitioner(s)

V/s.

State of Maharashtra & Union of
India.

Respondent

Mr. G.K. Nilkanth for respondent No.1

Advocate for the Respondent(s)

Mr. Ramesh Darda for respondent No.2

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mr. G. Sreedharan Nair, Vice-Chairman

The Hon'ble Mr. I.K. Rasgotra, Member(A)

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the Judgement?** *L*
 2. **To be referred to the Reporter or not ?** *X*
 3. **Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the Judgement ?** *L*
 4. **Whether it needs to be circulated to other Benches of the Tribunal ?** *L*
- L*
- de*

BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
NEW BOMBAY BENCH
CIRCUIT SITTING AT NAGPUR

O.A.367/86

Devendra Nath & Ors.

.. Applicants

vs.

1. The State of Maharashtra
through
the Revenue and Forest Dept.,
Mantralaya,
Bombay.

2. Union of India
through
The Ministry of Forests,
Govt. of India,
New Delhi.

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Shri G.Sreedharan Nair, Vice-Chairman

Hon'ble Shri I.K.Rasgotra, Member(A)

Appearances:

1. Mr.Mohan Sudame
Advocate for the
Applicants.
2. Mr.G.K.Nilkanth
Advocate for
respondent No.2
3. Mr.Ramesh Darda
Advocate for
Respondent No.3

ORAL JUDGMENT:

Date: 10-8-1990

{Per G.Sreedharan Nair, Vice-Chairman}

Advocate Mr.Mohan Sudame makes a
prayer for adjournment on behalf of the applicants.

2. Advocate Mr.G.K.Nilkanth and Mr.Ramesh
Darda submits ^{the} reliefs claimed by the applicants
have actually been allowed. It is stated that the
applicants have been actually confirmed before the
filing of this application and that immediately after
the application is filed they have also been promoted
to the Senior Time Scale of the Indian Forest Service.

3. In the circumstance we do not think that
the application deserves to be adjourned ^{further}. The application
is closed.

I.K. Rasgotra
(I.K.RASGOTRA) 10/8/1990
Member(A)

G. Sreedharan Nair
(G.SPEEDHARAN NAIR)
Vice-Chairman