

IN THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
NEW BOMBAY BENCH, NEW BOMBAY.

O.A.No. 370 of 198 6
T.A.No. - 198 -

DATE OF DECISION 5.12.1986

Shri J.S.Gado. Applicant/s.

Mr.K.R.Jadhav Advocate for the Applicant/s.

Versus

Union of India through the Respondent/s.

General Manager, C.Rly.Bombay V.T.

Advocate for the Respondent(s).

CORAM:

The Hon'ble Member {J} M.B.Mujumdar
The Hon'ble Member {A} J.G.Rajadhyaksha

1. Whether Reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgment? *Yes*
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ? *No*
3. Whether to be circulated to all Benches? *No*

BEFORE THE CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
NEW BOMBAY BENCH, NEW BOMBAY

Original Application No.370/86

Shri J.S.Gade,
C/O. Shri K.R.Jadhav,
Advocate,
4, Mayur,
Opp.Tilak High School,
Dombivali (East) - Bombay 421 201

.. Applicant

V/s

Union of India through the
General Manager, Central Railway,
Bombay V.T.400 001.

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Member (J) M.B.Mujumdar

Hon'ble Member (A) J.G.Rajadhyaksha

Oral Judgement (Per M.B.Mujumdar, Member (J)) Dated 5.12.1986

Heard Mr.K.R.Jadhav, learned Advocate for the
applicant. The application is filed under Section 19 of the
Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

In para 3 of the application, the applicant has
requested for allotment of seniority above his juniors,
fixing his pay on that basis and he has also prayed for arrears
of Rs.50,000/- In para 3 of the application as well as in
the body of the application, he has challenged orders dated
12.9.57, 17.1.75 and 17.8.78.

Prima facie the application is barred by limitation
as laid down in Section 21 of the Administrative Tribunals
Act, 1985. Nothing is alleged in the application which would
show that the application is not time barred.

We, therefore, summarily reject the application
under Sections 19(3) read with 21 of the Administrative Tribunals
Act, 1985.

(M.B.Mujumdar)
Member (J)

(J.G.Rajadhyaksha)
Member (A)